**Pupil Premium Strategy / Self-Evaluation**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Summary information**
 |
| **School** | Bradley Green Primary Academy |
| **Academic Year** | 2019/20 | **Total PP budget** | £108,240 | **Date of most recent PP Review** | Sept 2019 |
| **Total number of pupils** | 225 (including nursery) | **Number of pupils eligible for PP** | 82 (36%) | **Date for next internal review of this strategy** | Jan 2020 |
| 1. **Current attainment** (2017-18 data until validated data for 2018-19 is released)
 |
|  | *Pupils eligible for PP (your school)* | *Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)*  |
| **% achieving ARE +/ GD in reading** | ***75% ARE*** | ***13% GD*** |
| **% achieving ARE +/ GD in writing** | **75% ARE** | **25% GD** |
| **% achieving ARE +/ GD in maths** | **75% ARE** | **38% GD** |
| **% achieving ARE+/ GD in reading, writing & maths combined** | **75% ARE** | **13% GD** |
| **Progress score for reading**  | **+0.52**  | **0.33+** |
| **Progress score for writing** | **+2.92**  | **0.17+** |
| **Progress score for maths** | **+3.79**  | **0.28+** |
| 1. **Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)**
 |
| **Academic barriers**  |
|  | Development of phonics and early reading to ensure pupils leave key stage 1 ready. |
|  | The low number of pupils in receipt of PPG achieving greater depth in reading, writing and maths across the school.  |
| **C.** | % pupils making expected progress in reading and writing. |
| **Additional barriers** *(including issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)* |
| **D.**  | Attendance of pupils premium pupils – especially pupil premium SEND pupils |
| 1. **Intended outcomes** *(specific outcomes and how they will be measured)*
 | **Success criteria**  |
|  | Improve results in reading and phonics so that attainment and progress by the end of EY and key stage 1 is in line with national | Results show an improvements and interventions and new phonics teaching is having an impact on outcomes |
|  | Internal and external data will show PP GD numbers increasing for each year group. | Higher percentage of pupils achieving the higher standard, in particularly in key stage 1/Year 3There is minimal difference between PP children and non PP children achieving GDS |
|  | % pupils making expected progress in reading and writing in line with national | Interventions and quality first teaching is having a positive impact on outcomes |
|  | Attendance improves for all pupils, in particularly pupil premium SEND pupils  | Improved attendance and outcomes |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Review of expenditure**
 |
| **Previous Academic Year** | **2018-19** |
| 1. **Quality of teaching for all**
 |
| **Intended outcome**  | **Action** | **Estimated impact:** Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate). | **Lessons learned** (and whether you will continue with this approach) | **Cost** |
| \*Internal and external data will show gap narrowing between PP and non-PP in all subjects.\*Internal and external data will show PP GD numbers increasing for each yr group.\*End KS1 results show improvement on previous years | Rigorous and accurate target setting and trackingFlexible grouping Consistently high expectationsYear 1 teacher moved up with current year 2 cohortTargeted support of teaching assistants and learning mentor to deliver individualised support and learning programmes (e.g. SALT) | Gaps narrowing in writing – reading and maths at end of key stage 2 needs further attention so boosters to be introduced from Autumn term Improved outcomes in EYFS and end of key stage | Flexible grouping and book led curriculum showing improvements  | **£84,158** |
| 1. **Targeted support**
 |
| **Action** | **Intended outcome** | **Estimated impact:** Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate). | **Lessons learned** (and whether you will continue with this approach) | **Cost** |
| Overall PP attendance % will increase - close to national expectations and in line with non-PP pupils. | Weekly analysis of attendance using SIMsWork with Tameside EWO | Staff all use SIMs and class dojo to report attendance and punctualityOverall P.A. for school has reduced to well below national | Continue with Tameside EWO support and learning mentor analysing weekly attendance | **£2,827** |
| 1. **Other approaches**
 |
| **Action** | **Intended outcome** | **Estimated impact:** Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate). | **Lessons learned** (and whether you will continue with this approach) | **Cost** |
| Improved attendance and punctuality for PP pupils  | School to fund trips and residentials for PP pupilsSchool to help with uniform purchases | Pupil premium non SEND had much improved attendance | Need to concentrate on PP/SEND pupils and monitor closely | **£9,171** |
| 1. **Planned expenditure**
 |
| **A Academic year** | **2019-20** |
| The three headings enable you to demonstrate how you are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies |
| 1. **Quality of teaching for all**
 |
| **Action** | **Intended outcome** | **What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?** | **How will you ensure it is implemented well?** | **Staff lead** | **When will you review implementation?** |
| Implement RWI phonics from nursery to Y2 AIP priority on greater depth across school with targeted GD boosters for Y6Additional TA support including Year 6 boosters | Improve results in reading and phonics so that attainment and progress by the end of EY and key stage 1 is in line with nationalPP GD numbers increasing for each year group.% pupils making expected progress in reading and writing in line with national | Phonics scores below nationalSchool is reviewing the approach to the teaching of readingSchool is not in line with national for GD and outcomes are improving so want to ensuring gap is narrowing | Phase leads to monitor implementationForm part of pupil progress meetingsFeedback to development (JH)1:1 top up sessions for children who are not making expected progress. Staff training to ensure everyone is up to date and they are consistent | VC/LB/KH/ CH | Pupil ProgressAIP progress reviewsDevelopment review sessions and internal enquiry |
| **Total budgeted cost** | £84,158.00 |
| 1. **Targeted support**
 |
| **Action** | **Intended outcome** | **What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?** | **How will you ensure it is implemented well?** | **Staff lead** | **When will you review implementation?** |
| Daily monitoring of attendance for all pupils with a particular focus on pupil premium and SEND | Improved attendance of pupils premium pupils – especially pupil premium SEND pupils | PP SEND PA is higher than other groups of pupils | EWO monitoringDedicated time to review and monitor attendance of vulnerable pupils including home visits | VC and learning mentor | EWO review meetings |
| **Total budgeted cost** | £9,171.37 |
| 1. **Other approaches**
 |
| **Action** | **Intended outcome** | **What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?** | **How will you ensure it is implemented well?** | **Staff lead** | **When will you review implementation?** |
| To ensure PP children have equal access to the curriculum and school resources. | All P children have correct resources for school. Trips and visits are attended by all PP children. | Children who have full access to all areas of school life make better progress | Allocate funding and ensure that any trips and resources needed are funded. | VC/SB | Monthly budget reviews |
| **Total budgeted cost** | **£14,910.63** |
| 1. **Additional detail**
 |