

Castle Newnham School

TRADITIONAL VALUES, BRIGHT FUTURES, ONE JOURNEY

Non-examination assessment Policy

Governors' Committee:	Curriculum and Standards
Adopted by the Governing Body on:	
Signed: (Chair of Committee)	
Signed: (Federation Principal)	
Proposed date of review:	September 2022



Castle Newnham Exams Policy

Contents

- A. Definition and aim
- B. Responsibilities and roles
- C. Task setting and issuing of tasks
- D. Task taking
- E. Task marking externally assessed components
- F. Test marking internally assessed components
- G. Special consideration
- H. Malpractice
- I. Enquiries About Results (EAR)
- J. Spoken language endorsement for GCSE English language specifications designed for use in England
- K. Management of issues and potential risks associated with NEA



A. DEFINITION AND AIM

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment (NEA).

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is <u>not</u> 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</u>, (JCQ NEA) Foreword]

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

[<u>NEA 1]</u>

The aim of this policy, as defined by the JCQ, is to:

- Cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- Define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- Manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The examinations policy will be reviewed annually by the Senior Leadership Team (SLT)/Exams Officer/Governors following public examinations.

Where reference is made to JCQ regulations/guidelines, further details can be found at www.jcq.org.uk

B. RESPONSIBILITIES AND ROLES

It is the responsibility of everyone involved in the centre's exam processes to read, understand and implement this policy.

Head of Centre (Federation Principal)

- Ensures that the centre's NEA policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against the internal assessment decisions of the centre and requesting a review of the centre's marking.

Vice Principal (curriculum)

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
 which comply with the JCQ <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year



- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures JCQ <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier (Vice Principal Curriculum)

- Confirms with Heads of Departments that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Heads of Departments

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures JCQ <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers.

Subject Teachers

- Understand and comply with the general instructions as detailed in JCQ <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries
- Works with the SENDCO to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments



Exams officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Assistant Headteacher (Inclusion and SEND)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and</u> Reasonable Adjustments
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

C. TASK SETTING AND ISSUING OF TASKS

Subject Teachers

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this
 is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work
- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

D. TASK TAKING

Subject Teachers

Supervision

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated



- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and Feedback

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc

Word and time limits

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate,
 allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually



Authentication procedures

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results
 has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed,
 whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector

Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in JCQ <u>NEA</u> and informs the exams officer.

Presentation of work

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in JCQ <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in JCQ <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means

Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically.



IT Manager

Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically.

E. TASK MARKING – EXTERNALLY ASSESSED COMPONENTS

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject Teachers

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams Officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject Teachers

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams Officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline



F. TEST MARKING - INTERNALLY ASSESSED COMPONENTS

Marking and annotation Subject Teachers

- Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process

Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body.

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - o carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - o retaining work and evidence of standardisation

Subject Teachers

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject Teachers

Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a
record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams
officer to the internal deadline



- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams Officer

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a
 record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers
 that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject Teachers

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation)
 under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place

Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams Officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention



External moderation - feedback

Heads of Departments

Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams Officer

Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

G. SPECIAL CONSIDERATION

Subject Teachers

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - o is absent
 - produces a reduced quantity of work
 - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Exams Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration</u> process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

H. MALPRACTICE

See 'Malpractice and maladministration Policy'

I. ENQUIRIES ABOUT RESULTS

Head of Centre (Federation Principal):

Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal.



Heads of Departments

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results.

Subject Teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams Officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u> (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required
- J. SPOKEN LANGUAGE ENDORSEMENT FOR GCSE ENGLISH LANGUAGE SPECIFICATIONS DESIGNED FOR USE IN ENGLAND

Head of Centre (Federation Principal)

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement.

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments.

Heads of Departments

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided



Subject Teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams Officer

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings.

K. MANAGEMENT OF ISSUES AND POTENTIAL RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH NEA

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	Exams Officer/IT staff
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Heads of Departments/Vice Principal - curriculum
Issuing of tasks		
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
Task taking		
Supervision		

Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	Heads of Departments/Vice Principal - curriculum
other centre or candidate	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	
activities		
Rooms or facilities inadequate	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT	Heads of Departments/Vice Principal - curriculum
for candidates to take tasks	facilities for the start of the course	
under appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for	
	number of candidates	
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the	
Lea William Control of	same time (exam conditions do not apply)	Hardwell December 1975 - District Control of the
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	Heads of Departments/Vice Principal - curriculum
candidates to enable work to be	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	
authenticated	assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the	
	awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities	
	as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Federation
malpractice prior to submitting	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section	Principal (as Head of Centre)
their work for assessment	9 Malpractice) are followed	See Malpractice and maladministration policy
their work for addedding it	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal	Coc maipraolioc and malaaminiotration policy
	disciplinary procedures are followed	
Access arrangements were not	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	Subject teachers/Assistant Headteacher – Inclusion and
put in place for an assessment	the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the	SEND/Exams officer
where a candidate is approved	process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the	
for arrangements	candidate	
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	Subject teachers/Vice Principal - curriculum
advice and feedback not given	record all information provided to candidates before work begins	
by subject teacher prior to	as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	
	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to	
	candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the	
	subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to	
Condidate alaime no advice and	starting on their work	Cubic et to a chang N (i.e. Drive in al., a consistent
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	Subject teachers/Vice Principal - curriculum
feedback given by subject	record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the	
teacher during the task-taking	task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
stage	Procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	
	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Sign-on to continu monitoring activity	



	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Federation Principal (as Head of Centre) See Malpractice and maladministration policy
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	Heads of Departments/Exams Officer
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	Vice Principal – curriculum/Heads of Departments
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	Subject teachers/Exams officer/IT dept

Ш

	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Exams officer
acknowledge sources on work	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used,	
that is submitted for assessment	including books, websites and audio/visual resources	
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged	
	from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	
	word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
groups where the awarding	checked to determine if group work is permitted	
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
not permitted	unresolved	
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Exams officer
authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	
submitted by a candidate for	work	
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
Candidate plagiarises other	examination assessments	
material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to	
	do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Exams officer
authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
statement/declaration	examination assessments	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do	
	to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	

ī	I	
	1	

	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments
complete the awarding body's	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
cover sheet that is attached to		
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	Heads of Departments/Vice Principal –
formal supervised sessions is	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	curriculum/Exams officer
not securely stored	assessments Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate	
	secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	Heads of Departments/Vice Principal –
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course	curriculum/Exams officer
available to dabject todeller	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Surroularii/Examo Silicol
Task marking - externally asset		
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	Heads of Departments/Vice Principal –
day of the examiner visit for an	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	curriculum/Exams officer
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request	
	submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject teachers/Exams officer
day of the examiner visit for an		
unacceptable reason		
Task marking – internally asses		
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	Subject teachers/Exams officer
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body	
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is	
	assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated	
	appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding	
	body	
A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	Subject teachers/Exams officer
their work for unforeseen reason	the special consideration process (section 5), to determine	Casjost todorioro Examo omoor
	eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is lost	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	Subject teachers/Exams officer
or damaged	the special consideration process (section 5), to determine	·
	eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	

Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Federation Principal (as Head of Centre) See Malpractice and maladministration policy
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Federation Principal (as Head of Centre) See Malpractice and maladministration policy
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Exams officer
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Exams officer
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Exams officer See Internal Appeals policy
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments

ı	I	

	providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Subject teachers/Heads of Departments/Exams officer
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Heads of Departments/Vice Principal - curriculum