## **Pupil premium strategy statement**

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2023 to 2024 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

### School overview 2023-2024

Detail	Data
School name	Devonshire Road Primary Road
Number of pupils in school	421
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	26.6% 107(FSM) 3(LAC 2(Services children)
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	2021-2024
Date this statement was published	Dec 2023
Date on which it will be reviewed	Oct 2023
Statement authorised by	E.Hodge, Head Teacher
Pupil premium lead	Grace Brockless, Y6 Teacher
Governor / Trustee lead	Dave Bevitt

## **Funding overview**

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	163,945
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	16,240
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	0
Total budget for this academic year	180,185
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	

## Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

### Statement of intent

Our aim is to ensure all pupils make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas, irrespective of their background or challenges faced. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers. COVID-19 catch- up has also been taken into account when planning how best the funding can be utilised.

## **Challenges**

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Impact of loss of education due to lockdown, bubble isolations and individual isolation.
	The number of sessions (am or pm ) lost due to COVID is 35,909
2	% EAL (a number of children at early stages of English)
	Currently, our percentage of EAL is 44% and our percentage of current INAs is 6% and ever INA 10%.
3	Low levels of parental/carer engagement
	Average of 70% of children accessing learning to a good or better standard during Jan-Mar 2021 partial school closure.
	The number of children currently reading at least three a week at home with a parent/carer is:  EYFS - 36/60 (60%)  Year 1 – 23/59 (39%)  Year 2 – 20/60 (33%)
4	Language deprivation on entry to school (New Intake and international new arrivals)
	The number of new INAs starting continues to rise, with numbers showing 24 current INAs. We currently have 100% of children on the NASSEA tracker working at or below level 3 within the 'reading' strand.
	Nuffield Early Language Intervention baseline data for Autumn 2023 shows that 56% of our New Intake have below average language acquisition with 43% of them "much below average".
5	Attitudes to learning and limited aspirations and life experiences which limits access to learning and expectation of what they expect to and believe they can achieve.
6	The impact on mental health wellbeing due to deprivation, increased levels of poverty due to the increased cost of living and adverse childhood experiences (increased also due to COVID)

## **Intended outcomes**

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improved progress and attainment for our disadvantaged and vulnerable pupils within English (reading, writing and GPS)	% of pupils eligible for Pupil Premium meeting the expected standard at the end of KS1 and KS2 within reading and writing will be in line with national (or above) at the end of the academic year
Improved progress and attainment for our disadvantaged and vulnerable pupils within Maths	% of pupils eligible for Pupil Premium meeting the expected standard at the end of KS1 and KS2 within maths will be in line with national (or above) at the end of the academic year
Effective parental/carer engagement and support that creates on a positive impact	Our attendance and punctuality is at least in line with National figures.
on pupils	100% of parents/carers to attend biannual parent/carer conferences.
	At least 80% of children engaging some homework on a weekly basis (in line with homework policy).
	At least 80% of good or better engagement from those accessing remote learning.
For children to build a strong love for learning which encourages aspirations and	At least 95% positive response about school based on pupil voice feedback.
ambitions for the future.	SLT monitoring highlights positive learning behaviour in all lesson observations.
	Attendance figures at least in line with national figures for all groups of children.
Accelerated vocabulary acquisition in EYFS and for international new arrivals.	Final NELI assessments to show that 100% of children accessing the intervention have made accelerated progress in vocabulary acquisition.
Improved attendance and engagement with support services	Attendance data to be in line with national figures.
	Pupil voice will show that 90% of pupils feel supported in school and 90% of pupils know where they can receive support.

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

## Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £ 4,125

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
High quality CPD will be provided to staff to support with the provision of quality first teaching.  To improve teacher pedagogy in English and Maths to ensure a focus on basic skills so that they can be applied independently across the curriculum.	A new report from the Education Policy Institute (EPI), commissioned by Wellcome, undertakes a detailed review of the evidence on the impact of teacher professional development. Key findings showed high-quality CPD for teachers has a significant effect on pupils' learning outcomes.	1 2 4
Inclusive of our curriculum TLR		

# Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £ 147,625

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Daily pre teaching and post interventions	EEF toolkit suggests the following impact based on their evidence:  Teaching assistant interventions = +4 months	1 2 4
Targeted interventions including those of high ability	EEF toolkit suggests the following impact based on their evidence:  Teaching assistant interventions = +4 months  Reading comprehension strategies = +6 months	1 2 4
One to One and small group tuition, outside of normal school hours	EEF toolkit suggests the following impact based on their evidence:  One to one tuition = +5 months progress	1 2 4

all group tuition = +4 months	
• ,	
ending school time = + 3 months	
ading comprehension strategies = + 6 nths	
F toolkit suggests the following impact sed on their evidence:	1 2
llaborative Learning approaches = +5 nths	
tacognition and self-regulation = +7 nths	
al language interventions = +6 months	
onics = +5 months	
F toolkit suggests the following impact sed on their evidence:	1356
e to one tuition = +5 months progress	
llaborative learning approaches = + 5 nths	
cial and emotional learning = +4 months	
ading On Inclusion: The Role of the NDCO' 2021 Kay & Middleton	
Reduces barriers	
Increases participation and access to learning	
pports diversity	
	ading comprehension strategies = + 6 inths  F toolkit suggests the following impact sed on their evidence: Ilaborative Learning approaches = +5 inths Itacognition and self-regulation = +7 inths Italianguage interventions = +6 months Incident and self-regulation = +7 inths Italianguage interventions = +6 months Incident and self-regulation = +6 months Incident and self-regulation = +6 months Incident and self-regulation = +7 inths Italianguage interventions = +6 months Italianguage

# Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £ 28,435

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Breakfast Club	EEF have released statement: republication of the evaluation of school breakfast clubs.  "the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF) published an independent evaluation of school breakfast clubs, which found that a model of free, universal, before-school breakfast clubs was a cost-effective way of raising pupil attainment in primary schools".  "For Key Stage 1 outcomes, the impact rating as originally reported, of +2 months' For Key Stage 2 outcomes, the impact rating as originally reported, of +2 months, has been reduced to 0 months'	1 6

	Other activities completed during this time included homework which the EEF toolkit stated increased progress by 5 months		
CPOMs	CPOMS is a tool which allows staff to effectively act on safeguarding and wellbeing. DSLs act on these promptly which leads to timely actions and effective support for children. CPOMS enables effective tracking and monitoring, which leads to enhanced practice.	5	6
Learning Mentor	EEF toolkit suggests the following impact based on their evidence:  Behaviour interventions = +4 months  Collaborative learning approaches = + 5 months  Social and emotional learning = +4 months	5	6
Attendance Officer	EEF toolkit suggests the following impact based on their evidence:  Behaviour interventions = +4 months  Collaborative learning approaches = +5 months  Social and emotional learning = +4 months	5	9
Greater provision of wider opportunities for most disadvantaged children/ Enrichment Activities  e.g. Y2 pantomime and Y6 Shakespeare	Research shows that the most disadvantaged children are less likely to have access to these opportunities, resulting in an increased gap between most and least disadvantaged.	5	6
School Counsellor	EEF toolkit suggests the following impact based on their evidence:  Behaviour interventions = +4 months  Collaborative learning approaches = + 5 months  Social and emotional learning = +4 months	5	6

Total budgeted cost: £180,185

# Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## **Pupil premium strategy outcomes**

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

In EYFS, to close the attainment gap for pupil premium pupils achieving a Good Level of Development (GLD), with a focus on 'Communication and Language', 'Personal, Social and Emotional Development' and 'Mathematics'

	Communication & Language (C&L)			
	2021-2022		2022	- 2023
	School National School Natio			National
All	75%	80%	75%	80%
Disadvantaged	71%	69%	83%	70%
Non-disadvantaged	75%	81%	72%	82%
Gap	+4%	+13%	-11%	+12%

	Mathematics			
	202	2021-2022 2022		
	School National School Natio			
All	77%	76%	80%	77%
Disadvantaged	71%	62%	92%	64%
Non-disadvantaged	77%	79%	77%	80%
Gap	+6%	+17%	-15%	+16%

	Personal, Social and Emotional Development (PSED)			
	2021-2022		2022 -	- 2023
	School National School Nation			
All	83%	83%	88%	83%
Disadvantaged	71%	74%	100%	74%
Non-disadvantaged	85%	85%	85%	85%
Gap	+14%	+11%	-15%	+11%

	Literacy				
	2021-2022		2022 -	- 2023	
	School National		School	National	
All	63%	68%	61%	70%	
Disadvantaged	29%	52%	67%	55%	
Non-disadvantaged	68%	71%	60%	72%	
Gap	+39%	+19%	-7%	+17%	

#### Commentary on EYFS achievement 2023:

- 11 out of 60 children in the EYFS cohort are eligible for PP funding.
- 8 out of the 11 children have English as an additional language.
- 2 out of the 11 children are on the SEN register (1 has an EHCP).
- The attainment for our disadvantaged in school is above non-disadvantaged in all areas
- The attainment gap in school has <u>decreased</u> from 2021 to 2023 in communication & language, Mathematics, PSED and Mathematics.
- The % gap in 2022 for school, compared to national is <u>lower</u> in communication & language, Mathematics, PSED and Mathematics.

# In Year 1 phonics, to close the attainment gap for pupil premium pupils achieving the expected standard and passing the phonics screening test.

	Phonics Screening Check (Year 1)				
	2021-2022		2022 -	2022 - 2023	
	School National		School	National	
All	83%	76%	82%	79%	
Disadvantaged	73%	63%	64%	67%	
Non-disadvantaged	86%	79%	86%	82%	
Gap	-5%	+8%	+12%	+15%	

#### Commentary for 2023:

- The gap between "disadvantaged" and "not disadvantaged" pupils <a href="has lowered">has lowered</a> in phonics in Year 1 since 2021
- The gap between "disadvantaged" and "not disadvantaged" pupils is **lower** than national
- School continues to have on average more children passing the phonics screen than national averages

## In KS1, to close the attainment gap for pupil premium pupils achieving the expected standard and greater depth in reading, writing and mathematics and passing the phonics screening test.

	Phonics Screening Check (end of KS1)				
	202	1-2022	2022	2023	
	School National		School	National	
All	90%	91%	93%	89%	
Disadvantaged	94%	85%	93%	81%	
Non-disadvantaged	89%	93%	93%	91%	
Gap	-5%	+8%	0%	+10%	

	Reading expected standard (GD standard)			
	2021	-2022	2022	- 2023
	School National		School	National
All	63% (15%)	67% (18%)	55% (10%)	68% (19%)
Disadvantaged	50% (6%)	52% (8%)	64% (0%)	54% (9%)
Non-disadvantaged	68% (18%)	71% (21%)	52% (13%)	73% (22%)
Gap	+18% (+12%)	+19% (+13%)	-12% (+13%)	+19% (+13%)

	Writing expected standard (GD standard)			
	2021-2022		2022 - 2023	
	School National		School	National
All	60% (12%)	58% (8%)	48% (7%)	60% (8%)
Disadvantaged	56% (0%)	41% (3%)	57% (0%)	45% (3%)
Non-disadvantaged	61% (16%)	63% (9%)	46% (9%)	65% (10%)
Gap	+5% (+16%)	+22% (+6%)	-7% (+9%)	+20% (+7%)

	Maths expected standard (GD standard)			
	2021	-2022	2022	- 2023
	School National		School	National
All	60% (12%)	68% (15%)	62% (12%)	70% (16%)
Disadvantaged	44% (13%)	52% (7%)	64% (7%)	56% (8%)
Non-disadvantaged	66% (18%)	73% (18%)	61% (13%)	75% (19%)
Gap	+22% (+5%)	+21% (+11%)	-3% (+6%)	+19% (+11%)

	R/W/M Combined Expected (GD standard)			
	2021-2022		2022 - 2023	
	School National		School	National
All	53% (7%)	53% (6%)	45% (2%)	56% (6%)
Disadvantaged	38% (0%)	37% (2%)	57% (0%)	40% (2%)
Non-disadvantaged	59% (9%)	58% (7%)	41% (2%)	61% (7%)
Gap	+9% (+9%)	+21% (+5%)	-16% (+2%)	+21% (+5%)

#### Commentary for 2023:

- Disadvantaged pupils continue to achieve well in phonics in Key Stage 1.
- The gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils is generally <u>lower</u> than national averages for all areas.
- The gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils has <u>lowered</u> since 2021 in Reading, Mathematics, Writing and combined

 A <u>higher</u> percentage of disadvantaged pupils have achieved greater depth in maths and reading than national averages

In KS2, to close the attainment gap for pupil premium pupils achieving the expected standard in reading, writing and mathematics, and the higher standard in reading.

#### **Attainment:**

	R/W/M Combined Expected (GD standard)			
	2021-	-2022	2022 - 2023	
	School National		School	National
All	60% (8%)	59% (8%)	63% (20%)	59% (8%)
Disadvantaged	69% (6%)	44% (3%)	50% (15%)	44% (3%)
Non-disadvantaged	57% (9%)	66% (10%)	70% (23%)	66% (10%)
Gap	-12% (+3%)	+12% (+7%)	+20% (+8%)	+22% (+7%)

	Reading Expected (GD standard)			
	2021-2022		2022 - 2023	
	School National		School	National
All	73% (28%)	74% (28%)	75% (37%)	73% (29%)
Disadvantaged	88% (38%)	62% (17%)	70% (30%)	60% (17%)
Non-disadvantaged	68% (25%)	80% (33%)	78% (40%)	78% (34%)
Gap	-20% (-13%)	+18% (+16%)	+8% (+10%)	+18% (+17%)

	Maths Expected (GD standard)			
	2021-2022		2022 - 2023	
	School National		School	National
All	82% (33%)	71% (22%)	87% (37%)	73% (24%)
Disadvantaged	81% (31%)	56% (12%)	70% (30%)	59% (13%)
Non-disadvantaged	82% (34%)	78% (27%)	95% (40%)	79% (28%)
Gap	+1% (+3%)	+22% (+15%)	+15% (+10%)	+20% (15%)

	Writing Expected (GD standard)			
	2021-2022		2022 - 2023	
	School National		School	National
All	67% (20%)	69% (13%)	67% (25%)	71%(not published)
Disadvantaged	75% (19%)	55% (6%)	50% (25%)	58% (7%)
Non-disadvantaged	64% (20%)	75% (16)	75% (25%)	77% (16%)
Gap	-11% (+1%)	+20% (+10%)	+25% (0%)	+19% (+9%)

#### Commentary 2023:

- The gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils is <u>lower</u> in school than national in Reading and Maths
- The gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils is <u>lower</u> in school than national in Reading and Maths for children performing at greater depth
- The gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils is <a href="https://higher.in.google.com/higher.">higher in school for 2023 compared to 2021</a>

#### **Progress:**

	Writing Expected (GD standard)			
	2021	-2022	2022 - 2023	
	School National		School	National
All	2.5	0.0	1.0	Not published
Disadvantaged	2.0	0.8	0.6	Not published
Non-disadvantaged	2.6	0.4	1.3	Not published

	Reading Expected (GD standard)			
	2021-2022		2022 - 2023	
	School	National	School	National
All	1.4	0.0	1.4	Not published
Disadvantaged	2.1	-0.8	1.9	Not published
Non-disadvantaged	1.1	0.4	1.1	Not published

	Maths Expected (GD standard)			
	2021-2022		2022 - 2023	
	School	National	School	National
All	4.2	0.0	2.3	Not published
Disadvantaged	3.0	-1.1	1.5	Not published
Non-disadvantaged	4.6	0.5	2.7	Not published

#### Commentary:

- Both disadvantage and non-disadvantage progress scores are positive for all subjects
- •

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improved progress and attainment for our disadvantaged and vulnerable pupils within English (reading, writing and GPS)	% of pupils eligible for Pupil Premium meeting the expected standard at the end of KS1 and KS2 within reading and writing will be in line with national (or above) at the end of the academic year

#### Review Sept 2023:

#### **Key Stage 1:**

- \*attainment of disadvantaged pupils at KS1 in **reading** was higher than similar pupils nationally (school = 64%; national = 54%)
- \*attainment of disadvantaged pupils at KS1 in **writing** was higher than similar pupils nationally (school = 57%; national = 45%)

#### **Key Stage 2:**

- \*attainment of disadvantaged pupils at KS2 in **reading** was higher average of *all* pupils nationally (school = 70%; national = 60%)
- \*attainment of disadvantaged pupils at KS2 in **writing** (75%) was lower than similar pupils nationally (school 50%, national = 58%)
- \*attainment of disadvantaged pupils achieving GD at KS2 in **writing** (75%) was much higher than similar pupils nationally (school 25%, national = 6%)
- \*attainment of disadvantaged pupils achieving GD at KS2 in reading was much higher than national (school = 30%, national =17%)

# Improved progress and attainment for our disadvantaged and vulnerable pupils within Maths

% of pupils eligible for Pupil Premium meeting the expected standard at the end of KS1 and KS2 within maths will be in line with national (or above) at the end of the academic year

#### Review Sept 2023:

#### Key Stage 1:

- \*attainment of disadvantaged pupils at KS1 in **maths** was higher than similar pupils nationally (school = 64%; national = 56%)
- \*attainment of more able disadvantaged pupils at KS1 in **maths** was slightly lower than similar pupils nationally (school = 7%; national = 10%)

#### **Key Stage 2:**

- \*attainment of disadvantaged pupils at KS2 in maths (70%) was higher average of all pupils nationally (59%)
- \*attainment of more able disadvantaged pupils at KS2 in **maths** was much higher than similar pupils nationally (school = 30%; national = 13%)

Effective parental/carer engagement that creates on a positive impact on pupils	Our attendance and punctuality is at least in line with National figures.  100% of parents/carers to attend biannual parent/carer
	conferences.  At least 80% of children engaging some homework on a weekly basis (in line with homework policy).
	At least 80% of good or better engagement from those accessing remote learning.

#### Review Sept 2023:

\*Attendance for 2021-2022 is 93.3% which is lower than previous years due to COVID illness and unauthorised holidays following the lifting of COVID restrictions. Attendance for those eligible for PPG was inline with whole school attendance at 93.4%. We are waiting national comparisons. Whole school attendance is a priority for our school development 2022-2023.

\*98% of parents and carers spoke to their teacher at the biannual parents/carer conferences. Information was sent home for the 2% of parents/carers who weren't available or able to rearrange.

\*146 parents/carers attended one of our September 2022 "Meet the Teacher" sessions

\*commitment to homework continues to be variable and is therefore a priority for our school development 2022-

For children to build a strong love for learning which encourages aspirations and ambitions for the future.

At least 95% positive response about school based on pupil voice feedback.

SLT monitoring highlights positive learning behaviour in all lesson observations.

Attendance figures at least in line with national figures for all groups of children.

#### Review Sept 2023:

\*Pupil guestionnaire results June 2022

- 86% of pupils believe that everyone in school follows our school rule: "be the best you can be" a lot or always
- 97% of pupils said that doing well in their school work was important to them ("always" or "a lot")
- 92% of pupils said that they get on well with their teachers "a lot" or "always"

\*Attendance for 2021-2022 is 93.3% which is lower than previous years due to COVID illness and unauthorised holidays following the lifting of COVID restrictions. Attendance for those eligible for PPG was inline with whole school attendance at 93.4%. We are waiting national comparisons. Whole school attendance is a priority for our school development 2022-2023.

\*SLT monitoring of lessons highlights excellent behaviour and attitudes towards learning

Accelerated vocabulary acquisition in EYFS and for international new arrivals.

Final NELI assessments to show that 100% of children accessing the intervention have made accelerated progress in vocabulary acquisition.

#### **Review Sept 2023:**

- \*Nuffield Early Language Intervention
  - 100% of children made progress
  - 75% of the children who accessed the NELI intervention were assessed as being at the age related expectations by the end of the programme.

### **Externally provided programmes**

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider
Phonics	Collins Big Cat Phonics
Maths	White Rose Maths, TT Rockstars
Online Safety	National Online Safety
EYFS	Tapestry

Teams	Microsoft
Class Dojo	Y Combinator's Ed-tec
National College online CPD package	National College