Enquire Learning Trust: Pupil premium strategy statement

1. Summary information										
School	Eastfield	astfield Primary Academy								
Academic Year	2018/19	Total PP budget (provisional)	£85,000	Date of most recent PP Review						
Total number of pupils	272	Number of pupils eligible for PP	71	Date for next internal review of this strategy						

2. C	current achievement						
	End of KS2 pupils		e for PP (your ool)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)			
% ac	hieving ARE +/ GD in reading	63	31	80	33		
% ac	hieving ARE +/ GD in writing	81	25	83	24		
% ac	hieving ARE +/ GD in maths	69	38	81	28		
% ac	hieving ARE+/ GD in reading, writing & maths combined	63	6	70	12		
% ma	aking at least expected progress in reading		. <mark>95</mark> al = 0.31)	3.46			
% ma	aking at least expected progress in writing	_	. 17 al = 0.24)	3.36			
% ma	aking at least expected progress in maths	-	<mark>.38</mark> al = 0.31)	3.50			
3. B	arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)						
In-sc	hool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral langua	ge skills)					
Α.	A. Language development, specifically talking and reading: 17% of PP children achieved GLD compared to 71% for Non-PP children. 70% of PP children achieved Reading at expected standard at KS1 vs 69% of Non-PP children; GDS 8% vs 31%. 63% of PP children achieved Reading at expected standard at KS 2 vs 80% of Non-PP children.						

В.	The use of manipulatives to support the teaching of maths: 17% of PP children achieved GLD compared to 71% for Non-PP children. 77% of PP children achieved Maths at expected standard at KS1 vs 76% of Non-PP children; GDS 8% vs 38%. 69% of PP children achieved Maths at expected standard at KS2 vs 87% of Non-PP children.							
C.	Problem solving in range of different contexts: 17% of PP children achieved GLD compared to 71% for Non-PP children. 77% of PP children achieved Maths at expected standard at KS1 vs 76% of 69% of PP children achieved Maths at expected standard at KS2 vs 87% of							
D.	Social and emotional support for our children: 2017-2018 – 31% of PP children received targeted social and emotional support from our Child Protection Officer. PP attendance 2017-2018 was 95.5% and whole school attendance was 95.6% - no gap between the cohorts.							
Ex	ternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school,	such as low attendance rates)						
E.	Children's experiences of the wider-world impacts on their lack of vocabular	y.						
5. C	esired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be	Success criteria						
Α.	 Increase the % of PP children achieving GLD and close the gap to Non-PP. Increase the % of PP children achieving Reading at greater depth standard at the end of KS1. Increase the % of PP children achieving Reading at expected standard at the end of KS2. 	 PP children in Reception will make rapid progress by the end of the year and at least 50% will achieve GLD. PP children in Y2 will make good progress by the end of the year and at least 20% of the children will achieve Reading at greater depth standard at the end of KS1. PP children in Y6 will make good progress by the end of the year and at least 70% of the children will achieve Reading at expected standard at the end of KS2. 						
В.	 Increase the % of PP children achieving GLD and close the gap to Non-PP. Increase the % of PP children achieving Maths at greater depth standard at the end of KS1. Increase the % of PP children achieving Maths at expected standard at the end of KS2. 	 PP children in Reception will make rapid progress by the end of the year and at least 50% will achieve GLD. PP children in Y2 will make good progress by the end of the year and at least 20% of the children will achieve Maths at greater depth standard at the end of KS1. PP children in Y6 will make good progress by the end of the year and at least 75% of the children will achieve Reading at expected standard at the end of KS2. 						

C.	A A A	Increase the % of PP children achieving GLD and close the gap to Non-PP. Increase the % of PP children achieving Maths at greater depth standard at the end of KS1. Increase the % of PP children achieving Maths at expected standard at the end of KS2.	~	PP children in Reception will make rapid progress by the end of the year and at least 50% will achieve GLD. PP children in Y2 will make good progress by the end of the year and at least 20% of the children will achieve Maths at greater depth standard at the end of KS1. PP children in Y6 will make good progress by the end of the year and at least 75% of the children will achieve Reading at expected standard at the end of KS2.
D.	× ×	PP children received targeted social and emotional support from our Child Protection Officer. PP attendance remains constant with whole school attendance.	✓	CP Officer continues to offer targeted support to our PP children. Targeted PP children attend Breakfast and/or After-school free. There is no gap between PP children's attendance and the rest of the school.
E.	>	Children have the opportunity to visit a range of religious and cultural experiences to broaden their knowledge of the wider world.	~	Staff will organise a trip/external speaker for their class at least once per term.

6. Planned expenditure						
Academic year 2018 - 2019						
The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies						
i Quality of teaching for all						

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review?
Increased Maths attainment – B	 ✓ Purchase of Manipulatives 	 Recommended by research (EEF); manipulatives to be purchased to enable Maths to be taught using the strategy – CONCRETE – PICTORIAL – ABSTRACT. 	 Strategy is part of the Maths AIP. Staff ordered manipulatives in the summer term and re-assessed their order at half term to ensure they had ordered what they needed. Orders checked by the Maths Leads. Staff Training – How to use Numicon / Bar Modelling / 	JM & SN	£10,000 £250.00
Increased Maths attainment – B	✓ Maths Fluency	 Recommended by research (EEF); every unit of Maths to focus on children's fluency knowledge initially to ensure that this knowledge is secure; TA support. 	 Strategy is part of the Maths AIP. Pre and Post-tests demonstrate children's improving knowledge of maths fluency – Long Table Exercises etc. provide evidence. Working Walls / flip charts provide support - resources. 		£800

attainment – <u>B</u>		Space online Maths tuition accessed for - Y6 children to close the gap with their peers.	session is monitored by JM to ensure that the children are on task at all times. Feedback from the tutor is shared regularly with JM.	KT,	£50,000
esired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? • Recommended by research (EEF); Third	How will you ensure it is implemented well? 2 children per half term access the support – the 	Staff lead JM	Review?
ii. Targeted supp	oort		Total budget	ed cost	£13,136.0
	material	 classrooms which they can use as 'Home Readers'. Peer to peer support reading; once per week the older year groups read to the younger children to 'share' the experience of story. These books are also shared in assembly once per week and staff model how these stories are read. 	 Purchase reading material to support peer to peer reading. 	SN & KT	£165.02
Increased Reading attainment – A	 Access to high quality reading 	 Children have asked for high quality reading material to be available in their 	 Strategy is part of Embedding AIP. Purchase classroom reading material. 	JS & KT	£1,332
Increased Reading attainment – A	 Language Enrichment 	 Recommended by research (EEF); Knowledge Organisers to be designed for the non-core subjects to ensure that 	 Strategy is part of the Curriculum AIP. Staff Training. 	AR & KT	£179.00
attainment – <u>C</u>	Solving	children to be taught the 5 types of problem solving, this to be planned for systematically.	 Staff Training. Long Table Exercises etc. provide evidence. 	SN	

Increased Maths attainment – <u>A, B &</u> <u>C</u>	 Identifying gaps in learning 	• Recommended by research (EEF); TA's provide targeted interventions & pre/post teaching to close any gaps in learning & address any misconceptions.	 Monitored as part of termly Pupil Progress Meetings. 	KT, SN & JM	£50,000 (as above)
			Total budget	ed cost	£50,500
iii. Other approacl	hes				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review?
Targeted Social and emotional support - D	 ✓ Targeted support from CP Officer 	• This strategy ensured that PP children received the support they needed and there was no gap in attendance between PP children's attendance and that of the whole school 2017/2018.	 Children identified by CP Officer and Safeguarding Team. 	CP & S/gdin g Team	£18,700
	 Subsidised Breakfast and/or After- school Club 	• This strategy ensured that PP children received the support they needed and there was no gap in attendance between PP children's attendance and that of the whole school 2017/2018.	 Children identified by the CP Officer. Both strategies monitored on a termly basis. 	СР	£1, 650
Increased range of experiences for our children - E	 Focussed curriculum enrichment 	 This strategy ensured that PP children accessed a range of religious and cultural experiences (including those children in MySpace). 	 Strategy is part of Curriculum AIP. 	AR	£11,250
	I		Total budget	ed cost	£31,600

Cost
Cost
Cost

		phonic/reading interventions are having a positive and measurable impact on children's progress.											
			% Pupil Premium ch making 16+ months progress % Non-PP ch making 16+ months progress										
		Y1		82% (9/1				76% (22	2/29)				
		Y2		57% (8/1				50% (1					
		Y3		75% (6/8	1			84% (21					
		Y4 Y5		9% (1/1 ⁻ 91% (10/-	,			6% (2) 93% (2)	,				
		Y6		80% (12/ ⁻				83% (24					
			ess of PP	children is		in line w	ith Non-P		,				
 Increase the % of PP achieving expected standard in SPAG Increase the % of PP achieving HS in SPAG 	 Introduce the Babcock Spelling Strategy 	 > 69% of PP achieved EXS, an increase of 19% from 50% previously. > 44% of PP achieved HS, an increase of 44% from 0% previously. 					*	Babcock Spelling Strategy to continue – part of Embedding AIP and internal data shows that it is having an impact on	£200				
 Increase the average spelling mark of PP children 		 The average mark is 10.9, an increase of 2.0 from 8.9 previously. Single Word Spelling Test results for Pupil Premium children: 								standards.			
			Ra Sept	July		ardised core July		ige Raw core	Diff in ARS	% of ch making 16+ months			
										progress			
		Y1	0 to 20	3 to 30	111	104	9 (6/11)	19 (5/11)	+10	36% (4/11)			
		Y2	4 to 35	6 to 35	99	106	17	25	+8	50%			
		Y3	3 to 37	8 to 43	95	104	(7/13) 18	(9/14) 29	+11	(7/14) 38%			
			4.15.40			05	(3/8)	(4/8)		(3/8)			
		Y4	1 to 46	4 to 48	92	95	17 (6/13)	24 (7/12)	+7	0% (0/11)			
		Y5	0 to 43	19 to 50	100	103	25 (6/11)	38 (7/10)	+13	70% (7/10)			
		Y6	0 to 41	1 to 50	91	108	23	37	+14	87%			
							(9/17)	(7/15)		(13/15)			
										progress, and tha	at		
		phonic/reading interventions are having a positive and measurable impact on children's progress.											
		progre	355.										

	% Pupil Premium ch making 16+ months progress	% Non-PP ch making 16+ months progress
Y1	82% (9/11)	76% (22/29)
Y2	57% (8/14)	50% (15/30)
Y3	75% (6/8)	84% (21/25)
Y4	9% (1/11)	6% (2/31)
Y5	91% (10/11)	93% (25/27)
Y6	80% (12/15)	83% (24/29)

Progress of PP children is overall in line with Non-PP children.

> Grammarsaurus results for Pupil Premium children:

	Average F	Diff in ARS			
	Sept	July	Alto		
Y1	7 (4/10)	23 (5/10)	+16		
Y2	13 (9/13)	25 (8/14)	+12		
Y3	10 (4/8)	24 (6/9)	+14		
Y4	9 (4/13)	16 (6/11)	+7		
Y5	7 (3/10)	24 (8/12)	+17		
Y6	16 (7/17)	42 (11/15)	+26		

The Grammarsaurus data shows that PP children have made satisfactory to outstanding progress, particularly in Upper KS2.

	Diff in ARS for Pupil Premium ch	Diff in ARS for Non-PP ch
Y1	+16	+16
Y2	+12	+11
Y3	+14	+13
Y4	+7	+9
Y5	+17	+15
Y6	+26	+26

There is no difference in the progress made by PP children vs Non-PP children.

Desired outcome	Chosen action	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria?	Lessons learned (and whether you will	Cost	
	/ approach	Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	continue with this approach)		
 Attendance at Breakfast and After-school Club 	Identified PP families to be offered 2 free sessions at both Clubs on a weekly basis For vulnerable PP families this to be extended to 5 free sessions at both Clubs on a weekly basis.	 PP attendance 2017-2018 was 95.5% and whole school attendance was 95.6%. PP attendance remained constant and whole school attendance dropped by 0.8% due to term-time holiday absence. 72% of children invited (18 out 25) to Breakfast / After-school Club attended 1,038 free sessions. 	 ✓ Continue with this strategy. 	£1,657	
 Increase attendance rates 	 Attendance Officer employed to monitor and follow up quickly on absences; first day response provision. Attendance Officer to provide 1:1, group support to vulnerable children to improve/prevent a dip in attendance. 	 PP attendance 2017-2018 was 95.5% and whole school attendance was 95.6%. PP attendance remained constant and whole school attendance dropped by 0.8% due to term-time holiday absence. Attendance across the year for those children supported by Caroline Pell as an average fell by 0.28% - we believe this would have been higher without Caroline's support. 	✓ Continue with this strategy.	£18,700	
 Increase children's enjoyment of reading at home 	Renew home reading books.	> Questionnaire to children pre and post purchase; there is a 25% increase in children reading at home.	 This has had a positive impact on children taking books home to read. We now need to look at the availability of 'Home Readers' in classrooms. 	£373	
 Specialist External Services to support PP children Educational Psychologist support 	SENCo has access to specialist support services & an Educational Psychologist to support our vulnerable children.	 Records show that: ✓ 13 children have accessed support from SAS ✓ 4 children have assessed by the Educational Psychologist 	✓ We will look to fund this out of the main school budget.	£4,000 £3,500	

 Teaching Assistant support in every class 	 TA's deliver early and targeted support to PP children (and Non PP children). TA's to provide cover day-to-day for teacher training/absence. TA's provide cover at lunchtimes, 	 Impact was measured during Pupil Progress Mtgs; data shows that children are making progress and both teachers and TA's have delivered targeted interventions. Overall, attainment broadly dips slightly in comparison to Non-PP children however, the progress of PP children is good: 										~	Continue with this – it is having an impact on outcomes as data shows.	£70,000
		Y1												
		(11)	EXS	GDS	EXP	EXC	EXS	GDS 24%	Progress EXP EXC 100% 29%					
		R	55%	18%	91%	18%	76%							
	supporting lunchtime	W	45%	18%	91%	27%	76%	18%	88%	29%				
	supervisors. • Sports Coach to deliver PPA cover; Y1 to Y6.	M	55%	18%	91%	18%	88%	0%	82%	24%	Itotonding In CDS DD			
		Although attainment is lower than their counterparts, progress is outstanding. In GDS, PP children are in line in comparison however, they are 18% higher in maths.												
	10 10.	Y3 (9)	P Attain		PP Pro	ogress		n PP nment	Non Progi					
		(3)	EXS	GDS	EXP	EXC	EXS	GDS	EXP	EX				
		R	67%	22%	78%	0%	83%	28%	89%	C 0%				
		W	56%	22%	78%	0%	72%	22%	89%	0%				
		М	67%	22%	78%	11%	78%	33%	89%	0%				
		In RWN	1, 3 (out	: of 9) P	P childr	en are r	not ach		ARE and	l one o	f them is in receipt of an			
		EHCP (Band 6).										
		Y4 (11)		PP nment	PP Pro	ogress		on PP inment	Non Prog					
			EXS	GDS	EXP	EXC	EXS	GDS	EXP	EX C				
		R	50%	0%	70%	0%	77%	5%	71%	0%				
		W	60% 60%	10% 0%	90% 70%	0% 0%	82% 73%	5% 0%	76% 52%	5% 0%				
			Although attainment is low for PP children, progress is in line or exceeds that of the											
		comparative group.												
		Y5 PP (12) Attainment			PP Progress		Non PP Attainment			Non PP Progress				
		(12)	EXS	GDS	EXP	EXC	EXS	GDS	-	EX				
		R	81%	18%	80%	0%	89%	26%	94%	C 6%				
		W	82%	18%	90%	0%	79%	26%	94%	12				
										%				
		M	73%	18% Dichildre	80%	0%	89%	11%	88%	0%	and progress.			
		FF anu	INUII-F I		en ale b	noauly s	Similari		s or alla	ment	and progress.			
		⊳Luno	htime ir	ncidente	s record	ed in Cl	POMS	show re	sulting	actions	that are appropriate; this			
											ata shows that:			
					decreas									
														<u> </u>

iii. Other approaches								
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost				
Children have the opportunity to visit a range of religious and cultural experiences to broaden their knowledge of the wider world.	 Liaise with St Andrew's church. Staff to source speakers. Staff to organise educational visits. Staff to identify any resources to broaden children's knowledge of the wider world. 	 Children have experienced 2 Christmas pantomimes (£1,484). KS1 & KS2 visited St Andrew's church to take part in a Harvest Festival service. Y5 & Y6 took part in the Remembrance service at St Andrew's church. Rev Julie Donn has conducted the following services in school: Carol Service Christingle Advent Candlemass Easter Service > 3 Messy churches have been held at school: 29.11.17 28.03.18 27.06.18 > Please see SMSC file for more evidence. 	 ✓ Continue with this – part of the Curriculum AIP. 	£11,250				

8. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to support the sections above.