

## & Review of the Impact of 2016/17 Expenditure

## **Summary Information**

Academic Year	2017/2018	Total PP budget	£117,480	Date of most recent PP review	15 <sup>th</sup> November 2016
Total Numbers of children	234	Number of pupils eligible for PP	105	Date for the next internal review of this strategy	July 2018

Atta	ainment 2017 End of year (whole school)					
		Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP			
% ac	hieving ARE in Reading	50%	49%			
% achieving ARE in Writing 47%						
& achieving ARE in Maths 40%						
% making at least expected progress in Reading 90%						
% ma	aking at least expected progress in Writing	87%	99%			
% ma	aking at least expected progress in Maths	91%	100%			
Bar	riers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)					
In-s	chool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)					
	Historical low attainment (Ofsted June 2016),					
a.	• 2017 SATs results below floor standard,					
	Attainment below national average in reading, writing and maths in most year groups					
b.	Behaviour issues for a small group of Y4 pupils (mostly eligible for PP) are having detrimental effect on their academic attainment and progress and that of their papers					
	their peers.					
с.	<ul> <li>Part of the catchment is a lower layer super output area and is in the 10% of most deprived areas in the country. PP is significantly higher than national average. Children enter the school with significant issues in speech and language and development.</li> </ul>					
d.	<ul> <li>Attendance is below national average for 2016/17 at 94.9%.</li> </ul>					
Des	ired Outcomes					
	Data	Data is in line or above the floor standard and all				
a.	-	children make accelerated progress in Reading, Writing and Maths.				
b.	Progress to be in line with or above national averages for all children	Progress in line with or above national expectations for children in reading, writing and maths.				

Total budgeted cost   £86						£86,462	
Closing the attainment gap in Maths between disadvantaged and non- disadvantaged children particularly in Y1 and Y3.		Subject intervention and support in the classroom. ASL support to be provided in Y1 – Y5. Additional teacher support in Y6	Children require intensive support in order to make accelerated progress. Introduce PiXL half termly tests and use question level analysis to address areas of weakness.	Whole school assessmen programme in place to e appropriate data is avail Regular monitoring of da Interventions have a bas exit point - reviewed even weeks.	ensure lable. ata. seline and an ery 3 – 6	Deputy Head Teacher ASL (Associate School Leader)	Half termly
gap i disad disad	ng the attainment n Writing between dvantaged and non- dvantaged children cularly in Y1, Y3 and	Subject intervention and support in the classroom. ASL support to be provided.	Children require intensive support in order to make accelerated progress.	Analysis of writing data. of writing and Moderato writing to improve skills staff.	or training for of teaching	Deputy Head Teacher ASL (Associate School Leader)	Half termly
Maintain the attainment gap in Reading between disadvantaged and non- disadvantaged children.		Subject intervention and support in the classroom. ASL support to be provided in Y1 – Y5. Additional teacher support in Y6	Children require intensive support in order to make accelerated progress and attain standards in line with national averages. Introduce PiXL half termly tests and use question level analysis to address areas of weakness.	Whole school assessment programme in place to ensure appropriate data is available. Regular monitoring of data. Interventions have a baseline and an exit point. This is reviewed every 3 – 6 weeks.		Deputy Head Teacher ASL (Associate School Leader)	Half termly
	Desired Outcome	ty of teaching for all p Chosen Action / Approach	Evidence and rationale for choice?	How will you ensu implemented v		Staff lead	Review date
	nned expenditure	-					
f.	Reduced persistent absence for all pupils but especially PP children.				Persistent absence in line with or below national data (-8.8%)		
e.	Improved attendance	e for all pupils but especially I	PP children.		Attendance in line with or above natio expectations (96%)		
d.	Improved behaviour	and behaviour for learning of	f all pupils, particularly in Y4		Improved learning opportunities for a		
d.	Improve the progress	s of PP pupils in reading, writ	ading, writing and maths Gap between the pro Non-Disadvantaged is			e progress of Disadvantaged and ged is reduced.	
с.	• •	particularly in Y1 and Y3.	particularly in Y1 and Y3, in writing		Gap closing in disadvantaged	l pupils.	

Desired Outcome	Chosen Action / Approach	Evidence and rationale for choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review date
Early intervention of speech and language on entry to school	Speech and Language TA	We have a high proportion of children starting the school with SPLD difficulties.	Welcomm screening and tracking of SPLD Head		Annually
Ensure there are equal opportunities for PP children compared to non PP children	Breakfast club Music provision Uniform grant Trip subsidy	We have a large proportion of children who require food and clothing. We also want to ensure that children have the opportunity to access music lessons and trips.	Monitor take up of activities and attendance at breakfast club.		Termly.
	Total budgeted cost		ted cost	£31,075	
<b>Review of Expendi</b>	ture – Previous aca	demic year (2016/2017)	1		
1. Quality of teachin	g				
Desired Outcome	Chosen Action / Approach	Estimated Impact	Lessons Learned		Cost
Closing the attainment gap in Maths between disadvantaged and non- disadvantaged children.	Subject intervention and support in the classroom – specialist maths leader / TA support	Attainment of maths across the school improved. Gap between Disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged in maths reduced to 7%	Close tracking of intervention strategi programmes and use of a specialist m teacher with TA support impacted pos the attainment of Disadvantaged child maths.		
Closing the attainment gap in Writing between disadvantaged and non – disadvantaged children.	Designated PP TA to support with spellings, phonics, reading and speaking and listening.	Attainment of maths across the school improved. Gap between Disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged in writing reduced to 6%	Higher profile and extra stimulus for writing impacted positively on the attainment of Disadvantaged children in writing.		
Cost					£66,831
2. Targeted suppo	ort				
Desired Outcome	Chosen Action / Approach	Estimated Impact	Lessons Learned		Cost
Low level behavioural issues are minimal	Behaviour support worker	Less disruption in lessons enabling the improvement in attainment and progress in virtually all assessed areas.	Need to continue to ensure that teacher's expectations are high and following the agreed school behaviour policy.		
Address pupils and	Art therapy / Parent	Parent support workers have	Increasing demand on this facility provided by		

families emotional wellbeing and mental health and raise individuals self esteem	support workers	greatly supported the families in challenging circumstances. Casework has risen.	our school.	
Cost				£46989
3. Other Approac	hes			
Desired Outcome	Chosen Action / Approach	Estimated Impact	Lessons Learned	Cost
Early intervention of speech and language on entry to school	Speech and language TA	Greater awareness of children's speech and language skills and appropriate provision being provided to support individual needs.	Ensure all children in EYFS and KS1 have one to one screening to ensure a full awareness of needs, particularly for those entering the school mid-year.	
To ensure equal opportunities for PP children compared to non PP children.	Breakfast club / Music provision / Uniform grant / Trip subsidy	Children accessing all school events regardless of whether they are pupils eligible for PP funding or not.	Continue practice	
Cost				£22605

GE 12/9/17