# HEAP BRIDGE VILLAGE PRIMARY SCHOOL



# **Pupil Premium Strategy**

Headteacher Mr M Cockcroft

2023 - 2026

Last updated: September 2025

### 1. Summary information

Statement authorised by: Marcus Cockcroft		Pupil premium	<b>Katharine Collingwood</b>	Governor lead:	Marc Kemp
	(Headteacher)	lead/champion:	(Deputy Headteacher)		(Governor)

### Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan:

Funding overview:	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
N° pupils in school (proportion eligible for PPG)	174 (40 pupils/23%)	169 (48 pupils/28.6%)	170 (30 pupils/27%)
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£63,640	£65,000	£43,000
National Tutor Programme funding allocation this academic year	£2835	€-0	€O
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years	£ 0	€-0	£0
Total budget for academic year	£64,475	£65,000	£63,000

#### School Principles for the allocation of pupil premium funds:

- We ensure that the teaching and learning opportunities meet the needs of all pupils.
- We ensure that appropriate provision is made for pupils within vulnerable groups; this includes ensuring that the needs of socially disadvantaged pupils are assessed and addressed.
- In making provision for socially disadvantaged pupils, we recognise that not all pupils who receive free school meals will be socially or academically disadvantaged.
- Pupil premium funding will be allocated following a needs analysis which will identify priority groups or individuals. We will identify groups of pupils where underperformance exists and target our resources to tackling it, ensuring that whole-school approaches are considered alongside targeted and researched intervention.
- We will continue to refine our current menu of intervention strategies, remaining focused on new and current research, providing a higher level of evidence to evaluate impact and targeting our resources to ensure the highest impact and best possible value for money.

### **Challenges:** This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

No	Challenges:
1	Internal baseline data typically shows that the 'disadvantage' group of pupils starting in Reception enter school with lower (often much lower) levels of development in the Prime Areas, especially Communication & Language and PSED.
2	Internal baseline data typically shows that the 'disadvantage' group of pupils starting in Reception enter school with lower (often much lower) levels of development in literacy i.e. early reading & phonics.
3	Internal tracking data typically shows that, without intervention, pupils considered to be disadvantaged achieve below, if not well below their peers across reading, writing and mathematics (RWM) in both KS1 and KS2. This is reflected in national data trends.
4	Internal tracking data shows, pupils eligible for PPG funding are often disadvantaged across more than one indicator i.e. persistent absentee/attendance, safeguarding (SVCR), CP, SEND, LAC, behaviour, EAL
5	Without intervention and support, the attendance for this group (and number of PAs) is historically lower than non PPG pupils. This is reflected in national data trends.
6	Our most challenging families do not always readily engage with school and the support mechanisms on offer.
7	Internal surveys suggest that our most disadvantaged pupils, compared to their peers, have less access/membership to clubs, sports and wider experiences/activities.

## **Intended outcomes:** This explains the outcomes we are aiming for by the end of our current strategy plan, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

	Intended Outcome	Success Criteria
1	Improved communication and language outcomes by end of Reception.	Disadvantaged pupils make good progress in this area. Any attainment gaps identified during baseline assessments have been narrowed across the year. This will be evidence as above and through our recording of pupil progress & monitoring meetings.
2	Early identification of disadvantaged pupils results in a clear analysis of where individual pupils may need support and how school resources are allocated. Pupils considered disadvantaged make good progress against their peers.	Disadvantaged pupils make good progress across EYFS (and other cohorts) and achieve well against their peers. Any attainment gaps identified during baseline assessments have been narrowed across the year. This will be evidence as above and through our recording of pupil progress & monitoring meetings.
3	Reduce the accumulative impact of recognised barriers to progress (by holistic approach to support around a child).	Disadvantaged indicators <i>i.e.</i> attendance, behaviour incidents for individual pupils/PPG group improve overtime (or escalation of support is evident). Evidenced through individual pupil records and termly summary reports to governors.

4	To improve levels of attendance for all pupils but particularly those whose attendance becomes a barrier to well-being and/or achievement.	Pupils eligible for PPG reach equally high levels of attendance as other pupils – annual target 96%
5	Improving attainment in reading, writing & mathematics (RWM) among disadvantaged pupils.	Attainment gap between RWM outcomes in EYFS and EOKS1 are reduced further or impacted on to further positive affect. <i>To be reported annually</i> .  Attainment gap between RWM outcomes in EOKS1 and EOKS2 are reduced further or impacted on to further positive affect. <i>To be reported annually</i> .
6	Continue to target improved behaviour & well-being for individuals and groups of pupils with social and emotional difficulties - to reduce barriers to learning.	Clear mechanisms of identification and intervention are evident for pupils requiring higher levels of support and guidance with self-regulation and managing social and emotional impacts on learning & behaviour.  Clear improvements are evidenced over time for individual pupils requiring intervention through individual behaviour records.
7	To reduce barriers to access wider curriculum opportunities and enhancements for PPG pupils.	PPG pupils enjoy equal (proportionate) access to extra-curricular clubs and activities.  Evidenced in termly reports to governors.

**Activity in this academic year:** This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for e	eaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)										
Challenge No	Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26						
1/2/3	Pupil Premium Champion (PPC) - To plan, co- ordinate, deliver, monitor & evaluate interventions for our most vulnerable pupils & SEND provision. x2dpw	Successful strategy/targeting of resources used in previous year.	£29,000	£31,000	£33,000						
1/2	Utilise expertise of local English Hub & HLT improvement grant to develop leadership expertise of newly appointed EYs lead + coaching, monitoring and developing practice in EYFS through to KS1.	EEF Early Years Toolkit	£5′000	£5'500	£6'000						
		Total budgeted cost	£34,500	£36,500	£39,000						

Targeted acad	Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)									
Challenge No	Activity	Evidence that supports	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26					
1/2	Elkan training for NEW teaching staff in Y1. Focus on early identification and assessment of gaps in children's speech and understanding. Developing vocabulary and supporting through Blank's level questioning.	EEF Early Years Toolkit Part of the Rochdale Communication Project	£400 (training) £1000 (5 days – training) £400 (resources) (x2yrs) £1800	£1400	-					
1/2	WellComm (speech and language toolkit) training for NEW teaching staff in Y1. Focus on early identification of any speech and language difficulties or delays.	EEF Early Years Toolkit Part of the Rochdale Communication Project	£500 (online resource) £800 (4 day implementation-x3yrs) £1400	£1450	£1500					
1/2	Embed new Phonics programme with clear and structured 'keep up' and 'catch up' intervention programmes built in throughout the year. Make use of additional adult support / LW training.	EEF Early Years Toolkit	£ 750 (subscription) £1000 (implementation)	£ 800 (subscription) £1200 (implementation) £2000	£ 850 (subscription)					
3	Investment in a greater range of diagnostic assessments to support early identification of SEND/intervention priorities and range of cognitive barriers to learning.	https://educationendowmentfoun dation.org.uk/public/files/Publicati ons/Covid-19 Resources/Covid- 19 support guide for schools.pdf	£1500	£2000 Clicker £1500	£1500 Clicker £1500					
2/3	Use of NTP funding to engage with National Tutoring Programme. Focus on raising attainment and narrowing gaps of targeted groups of pupils – particularly those considered disadvantaged.		£2835 (NTP funding) £2165 (PPG funding) £5000	N/A	N/A					
	<del> </del>	Total budgeted cost	£10,100	£8,350	£5,350					

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)									
Challenge No	Activity	Evidence that supports	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26				
4/5/6	Learning Mentor (targeted support 2.5 days per week) - To plan and deliver intervention to our most vulnerable pupils & families ensuring high levels of engagement & attendance.	Successful strategy/targeting of resources used in previous years – internal evidence of high impact.	£14,000	£15,500	£17,000				
3	Ensure adequate devices to support, engage and give sufficient access to online / remote learning for all pupils in need — but especially disadvantaged pupils where not present in school = Al investment	DfE	£2000	£2400 AI – £5000	£2800 AI - £5000				
6	Well-Being Warriors – social and emotional intervention programme.		£1500	£1500	£1500				
6	Contributions to school trips/educational visits/residential		£1500	£2600	£2700				
7	Contributions to extra-curricular activities (Inc. B&A school provision)		£1000	£2050	£2100				
		Total budgeted cost	£20,000	£30,050	£30,600				

Review of funding (to date):	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
Teaching	£34,500	£36,500	£39,000
Targeted academic support	£10,100	£8,350	£5,350
Wider strategies	£20,000	£30,050	£30,600
TOTAL TARGETTED PUPIL PREMIUM SPENDING:	£64,600	£72,400	£79,200
Total Pupil Premium Budget	£64,475	£65,000	£63,000
Surplus (carry forward) / Deficit (use of ISB)	-£125	-	-

### Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## **Pupil premium strategy outcomes**

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2023 to 2024 academic year.

#### Data Outcomes - EOKS2 2024

Percentage distribution	of grades	per subject
-------------------------	-----------	-------------

Subject	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Pre Key Stage	Working towards EXS	Expected Standard	Greater Depth	Below Expected	Expected +
Reading			4.0%	4.0%	28.0%	16.0%	32.0%	12.0%	4.0%		4.0%	48.0%	48.0%	4.0%	96.0%
Writing		4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	32.0%	24.0%	8.0%		8.0%		12.0%	72.0%	16.0%	12.0%	88.0%
Maths			4.0%	8.0%	32.0%	28.0%	24.0%	4.0%			4.0%	68.0%	28.0%	4.0%	96.0%
EGPS			8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	36.0%	16.0%	20.0%	12.0%		8.0%	44.0%	48.0%	8.0%	92.0%

(combined)

Expected +

84.0%

Greater Depth

12.0%

#### Attainment Analysis - Groups (% at or above expected)

Reception Year	Cohort	Number	Percentage of Cohort	Reading Exp+%	Writing Exp+%	EGPS Exp+%	Mathematics Exp+%	RWM Exp+%
17/18	Cohort	25	100.0%	96.0%	88.0%	92.0%	96.0%	84.0%
17/18	Male	13	52.0%	92.3%	92.3%	100.0%	100.0%	84.6%
17/18	Female	12	48.0%	100.0%	83.3%	83.3%	91.7%	83.3%
17/18	SEND	4	16.0%	100.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%
17/18	nonSEND	21	84.0%	95.2%	90.5%	95.2%	100.0%	85.7%
17/18	nonSW	25	100.0%	96.0%	88.0%	92.0%	96.0%	84.0%
17/18	nonLAC	25	100.0%	96.0%	88.0%	92.0%	96.0%	84.0%
17/18	SVCR	3	12.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
17/18	nonSVCR	22	88.0%	95.5%	86.4%	90.9%	95.5%	81.8%
17/18	PPG	6	24.0%	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%	66.7%
17/18	nonPPG	19	76.0%	100.0%	89.5%	94.7%	100.0%	89.5%
17/18	FSM	6	24.0%	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%	66.7%
17/18	nonFSM	19	76.0%	100.0%	89.5%	94.7%	100.0%	89.5%
17/18	Ethnicity	3	12.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
17/18	nonEthnicity	22	88.0%	95.5%	86.4%	90.9%	95.5%	81.8%
17/18	nonEAL	25	100.0%	96.0%	88.0%	92.0%	96.0%	84.0%
17/18	Aut	6	24.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
17/18	Spr	10	40.0%	100.0%	80.0%	80.0%	90.0%	80.0%
17/18	Sum	9	36.0%	88.9%	88.9%	100.0%	100.0%	77.8%

## **Pupil premium strategy outcomes**

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2023 to 2024 academic year.

#### Data Outcomes - EOKS2 2025

	86	90	93	96	100	104	107	110	114	118	
<b>Attainment (Points</b>	) Analysi	s				Expected		Greater Depth			
EOY6	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Reading (raw)	0	0	1	6	4	7	2	3	1	1	25
Reading (%)	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	24.0%	16.0%	28.0%	8.0%	12.0%	4.0%	4.0%	
Writing (raw)	0	3	0	2	0	18	0	2	0	0	25
Writing (%)	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	72.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Mathematics (raw)	0	0	1	3	8	5	4	3	0	1	25
Mathematics(%)	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	12.0%	32.0%	20.0%	16.0%	12.0%	0.0%	4.0%	
EGPS (raw)	0	1	1	3	9	2	5	3	0	1	25
EGPS (%)	0.0%	4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	36.0%	8.0%	20.0%	12.0%	0.0%	4.0%	

<exp< th=""><th>Exp+</th><th>GD</th></exp<>	Exp+	GD
7	18	5
28.0%	72.0%	20.0%
5	20	2
20.0%	80.0%	8.0%
4	21	4
16.0%	84.0%	16.0%
5	20	4
20.0%	80.0%	16.0%

Current	Exp+	GD
	14	2
	56.0%	8.0%

#### Attainment Analysis - Groups (% at or above expected)

\*NOTE: non SEN in PPG are pupils considered PPG but not SEND.

EOY6	Ger	der	Vulnerable							Disadvantage					icity	Te			
Groups	М	F	SEND	nonSEND	sw	LAC	SVCR	PA <90%	PPG	nonPPG	non SEN	FSM	IMD L20%	Ethnicity	EAL	Aut	Spr	Sum	Cohort
Number	15	10	9	16	3	1	6	3	4	21	1	0	16	4	2	3	7	15	25
Percentage	60.0%	40.0%	36.0%	64.0%	12.0%	4.0%	24.0%	12.0%	16.0%	84.0%	4.0%	0.0%	64.0%	16.0%	8.0%	12.0%	28.0%	60.0%	
Reading	66.7%	80.0%	77.8%	68.8%	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%	66.7%	50.0%	76.2%	0.0%		62.5%	50.0%	100.0%	66.7%	57.1%	80.0%	72.0%
Writing	73.3%	90.0%	55.6%	93.8%	66.7%	100.0%	66.7%	66.7%	75.0%	81.0%	100.0%		75.0%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	71.4%	80.0%	80.0%
Mathematics	93.3%	70.0%	77.8%	87.5%	66.7%	100.0%	83.3%	66.7%	75.0%	85.7%	100.0%		81.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	73.3%	84.0%
EGPS	73.3%	90.0%	66.7%	87.5%	66.7%	100.0%	66.7%	66.7%	75.0%	81.0%	100.0%		68.8%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	71.4%	80.0%	80.0%
RWM	53.3%	60.0%	55.6%	56.3%	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	50.0%	57.1%	0.0%	#DIV/0!	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	66.7%	57.1%	53.3%	56.0%

Difference to ALL	M	F	SEND	nonSEND	SW	LAC	SVCR	PA <90%	PPG	nonPPG	non SEN	FSM	IMD L20%	Ethnicity	EAL	Aut	Spr	Sum
Reading	-5.3%	8.0%	5.8%	-3.3%	-38.7%	-72.0%	-22.0%	-5.3%	-22.0%	4.2%	-72.0%		-9.5%	-22.0%	28.0%	-5.3%	-14.9%	8.0%
Writing	-6.7%	10.0%	-24.4%	13.8%	-13.3%	20.0%	-13.3%	-13.3%	-5.0%	1.0%	20.0%		-5.0%	-5.0%	20.0%	20.0%	-8.6%	0.0%
Mathematics	9.3%	-14.0%	-6.2%	3.5%	-17.3%	16.0%	-0.7%	-17.3%	-9.0%	1.7%	16.0%		-2.8%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	-10.7%
EGPS	-6.7%	10.0%	-13.3%	7.5%	-13.3%	20.0%	-13.3%	-13.3%	-5.0%	1.0%	20.0%		-11.3%	-5.0%	20.0%	20.0%	-8.6%	0.0%
RWM	-2.7%	4.0%	-0.4%	0.2%	-22.7%	-56.0%	-6.0%	-22.7%	-6.0%	1.1%	-56.0%		-6.0%	-6.0%	44.0%	10.7%	1.1%	-2.7%

### **Externally provided programmes**

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider							
Little Wandle Phonic Programme	Little Wandle							
The Write Stuff	Training Space (Jane Considine)							
The Spelling Book	Training Space (Jane Considine)							
IDL Spelling intervention	IDL solutions							
Mighty Writer	Mighty Writer Ltd							
PenPals (handwriting)	Cambridge University Press							
White Rose Maths	White Rose							
TT Rockstars (KS2) / Numbots (KS1)	TT Rockstars							
Charanga (music curriculum)	Charanga Ltd							
CPOMs – for recording safeguarding/CP concerns	CPOMS							
SCARF (RSHE curriculum)	Coran Life Education							
R.E. Today (R.E. curriculum)	Rochdale Agreed Syllabus							
P.E. Passport (P.E. curriculum)	P.E. Passport Ltd							
Teach Computing (computing curriculum)	NCCE (funded by DfE)							
3 <sup>rd</sup> -Space Learning (maths online intervention)	3rd Space Learning Ltd							