Freedom of Information Act 2000. Model School Policy

Appendix 3 Applying the Pubic Interest Test

Note: This Appendix is taken from the DfES Guide for Maintained Schools on Full Implementation from January 2005.

Background

1. Having established that a qualified exemption(s) definitely applies to a particular case, you must then carry out a public interest test to identify if the public interest in applying the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing it. Therefore, unless it is in the public interest to withhold the information, it has to be released. Although precedent and a developed case law will play a part, individual circumstances will vary and each case will need to be considered on its own merits.

Carrying out the test

2. It is worth noting that what is in the public interest is not necessarily the same as that which may be of interest to the public. It may be irrelevant that a matter may be the subject of public curiosity. In most cases it will be relatively straightforward to decide where the balance of the public interest in disclosure lies. However, there will inevitably be cases where the decision is a difficult one. Applying such a test depends to a high degree on objective judgement and a basic knowledge of the subject matter and its wider impact in the school and possibly wider. Factors that might be taken into account when weighing the public interest include:-

| For Disclosure | Against Disclosure |
|---|--|
| Is disclosure likely to increase access to information held by the school? | Is disclosure likely to distort public reporting or be misleading because it is incomplete? |
| Is disclosure likely to give the reasons for a decision or allow individuals to understand decisions affecting their lives or assist them in challenging those decisions? | Is premature disclosure likely to prejudice fair scrutiny, or release sensitive issues still on the internal agenda or evolving? |
| Is disclosure likely to improve the accountability and transparency of the school in the use of public funds and help to show that it obtains value for money? | Is disclosure likely to cause unnecessary public alarm or confusion? |
| Is disclosure likely to contribute to public debate and assist the understanding of existing or proposed policy? | Is disclosure likely to seriously jeopardise the school's legal or contractual position? |
| Is disclosure likely to increase public participation in decision-making? | Is disclosure likely to infringe other legislation e.g. Data Protection Act? |
| Is disclosure likely to increase public participation in political processes in general? | Is disclosure likely to create a controversial precedent on the release of information or impair your ability to obtain information in the future? |
| Is disclosure likely to bring to light information affecting public safety? | Is disclosure likely to adversely affect the school's proper functioning and discourage openness in expressing opinions? |

| Is disclosure likely to reduce further enquiries on the | If a large amount of information on the topic has |
|---|--|
| topic? | already been made available, would further |
| | disclosure shed any more light or serve any useful |
| | purpose? |

3. Note also that:

- potential or actual embarrassment to, or loss of confidence in, the school, staff or governors is NOT a valid factor
- the fact that the information is technical, complex to understand and may be misunderstood may not of itself be a reason to withhold information
- the potential harm of releasing information will reduce over time and should be considered at the time the request is made rather than by reference to when the relevant decision was originally taken
- the balance of the public interest in disclosure cannot always be decided on the basis of whether the disclosure of particular information would cause harm, but on certain higher order considerations such as the need to preserve confidentiality of internal discussions
- a decision not to release information may be perverse i.e. would a
 decision to withhold information because it is not in the public interest
 to release it, itself result in harm to public safety, the environment or a
 third party?
- 4. You will need to record the answers to these questions and the reasons for those answers. Deciding on the public interest is not simply a matter of adding up the number of relevant factors on each side. You need to decide how important each factor is in the circumstances and go on to make an overall assessment.

For Disclosure

5. Where the balance of the public interest lies in disclosure, the enquiry should be dealt with and the information required should be made available. Where the factors are equally-balanced, the decision should usually favour disclosure (but see 3rd bullet point above).

Against Disclosure

6. After carrying out the public interest test if it is decided that the exemption should still apply, proceed to reply to the request.

There will be occasions when it has been decided that a qualified exemption applies but consideration of the public interest test may take longer. In such a case, you must contact the enquirer within 20 working days stating that a particular exemption applies, but including an estimate of the date by which a decision on the public interest test will be made. This should be within a

"reasonable" time – in practice, it is recommended this decision is made and communicated within the 20 days but where not possible it is suggested that no more than 10 working days beyond the 20 days should be allowed.