



Learning, Growing, Achieving Together

### LOCAL GOVERNING BODY MINUTES OF MEETING Tuesday 15<sup>th</sup> January 2019

#### PRESENT:

Bryony Surtees Kate Yeoman Marion Lloyd (by phone) Tim Gingell Stephen Dove Annabel Charles David Monk Stephanie Peachy Allan Sanderson

### IN ATTENDANCE:

Item	Agenda Item	Action			
1	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE				
	No apologies				
2	DECLARATIONS OF PECUNIARY AND NOT PECUNIARY INTEREST				
	None recorded				
3	CHAIRS ACTION				
	There were no Chair's actions to report at this time.				
4	MINUTES				
	All matters arising from the minutes had been actioned.				
	The minutes of the meeting held on 15 <sup>th</sup> January were approved				
5	RESTRUCTURED GOVERNING BODY				
	QUESTION (ML) As the Executive Head teacher has now resigned, will there be a need to have two governing bodies again? IM				
	declared this intention to resign within the year as he has questions				
	about the model of Chesterton being a stand-alone academy being				
	sustainable. IM explained that his interest is within the local community				
	and believes you need to be invested in the local community to understand the schools. ML explained that the reason for merging may				





### Learning, Growing, Achieving Together

	Learning, Growing, Achieving Together				
6	disappear but it is too soon to say whether the merged is working and having impact. TG discussed how muc will need from Governors and AC agreed. AC now fee Ely and she thought that Governors ought to spend at then review it. AS agreed that the Governing Body wa of the leadership within this time of change but perhap after a year. IM stated that the Trust should keep a cle change. ACADEMY IMPROVEMENT	ch the new SLTs els committed to least a year and as the stable part os should review it			
6.1	QUESTIONS ML:				
	EYFS				
	Chesterton:				
	On track to be38%working pre the38%expected4expectations4	24%			
	What is the makeup of these children – are they th	<u>e PP, Send,</u>			
	EAL?				
	White British, mixture of girls and boys, EAL				
	Chesterton: interesting to note that large group of boys, but doing well. What are the characteristics of those pupils who are on track to be working pre the expected expectation? Maths: On Track – boys & girls, EAL and Pupil Premium, Not on Track – SEND, EAL				
	Reading & Writing – boys & girls, EAL, Not on Track – SEND, PP & EAL				
	Y2				
	On track to be working pre the expected expectations22%25.9%18	.51%			
	Anything 1D or below				





Learning, Growing, Achieving Together

# <u>These look like big numbers – what are we doing to ensure these pupils make better progress?</u>

HLTA running interventions (although currently off sick) JS is focusing on borderline children during group time

Y2: progress

# What are the characteristics of those pupils who are making good progress and those that are making less than expected progress?

No specific groups are making good progress as all are. Those not making expected progress fall under EAL, New to the School and SEND

Y3

On track to be	16.7%	16.7%	13.3%
working pre the			
expected			
expectations			

# Quite a large group – what strategies are we using to improve outcomes for these?

Vast majority of the class are making expected progress.

Quite a transition of children. We have lost 3 pupils who met the expected level at Year 2 and in their place, we have gained children who were either not in the country or got expected at Year 2.

Children with SEND work in Mulberry Class. HLTA was working with Year 3 with interventions, write away, inference, CLIC etc (however, HLTA now off sick)

Y4

On track to be working towards expected	27%	19%	15%
expectations			
guess these are the pup		eed to work e	even harder wit
- do we have a cunning p	<u>lan?</u>		
Children who met the exped	cted level at `	Year 2 are still	on track or
exceeding. Many of the chi	ildren working	towards hav	e had a





Learning, Growing, Achieving Together

significant time out of school. Those not on track have behavioural needs or EAL and joined later. There is a tight intervention timetable for the children – this is happening regularly Y5 This remains our greatest challenge - do we have anything different we offer? With Year 4/5 teachers, following on from SIM meetings we discussed expectations and teaching to specific needs and teaching the children separately if needed. In terms of maths, the priority was planning and teaching problem solving and building this into the wider environment. Spoke about specific children whose progress has slipped and so teachers' are aware of any children who haven't made expected progress Boys are a concern in Year 1 and Year 3 and the teachers are carrying out a lesson study approach to look at the specific barriers for this group - carried out a lessons study last term and learning walk this week showed that all of the PP pupils were engaged and learning. EAL – pre teaching, first language assessments Isle of Ely <u>Y1 – data doesn't make sense, there appears to be only 1 SEN</u> pupil in the cohort, so can't have 25%? Reading (SEN) Writing (SEN) Maths (SEN) 25% 25% 25% There is only 1 child listed by four whom the teachers would consider SEND Y2: progress What are the characteristics of those pupils who are making good progress and those that are making less than expected progress? There is no difference in the characteristics of those making progress





### Learning, Growing, Achieving Together





END	3%	3%	3%	
hat are we doing dif	ferently with thes	e pupils?	<u> </u> ]	
ee previous answer				
•	Progress			
% on track to at least meet their sufficient progress target				
Reading	Writing	-	Maths	
			Maths 70%	
Reading 54%	Writing	ient progre	70%	_
Reading 54%	Writing 43%	ient progre	70%	_

I believe the children regressed over the summer vacation and then the children whom were in Grasshopper Class were a concern. I have also taken the progress from the summer term but when you look at just the Autumn term, most of the children make expected progress. Not overly concerned about the progress of the Year 4 children – they are enthusiastic and motivated children who are keen to achieve. Please remember that this stage of the year, much hasn't been taught yet and so the children will show greater progress.

### **QUESTIONS FROM AS**

I note my absence at the last meeting wasn't noted in the minutes.

CPS KS2 Boys: Is it known why they score so low? How are they being supported? Is it anticipated they will improve? What does it mean for them in their future education journey?

They are identified as a key group and a key line of enquiry for the school. Many of our boys are pupil premium children but also SEND/EBD. We have an action plan to support them. Every identified boy needs to have a now and next board in class. This supports them staying on target during lesson time and making the most of challenge time. The now and next boards give them the challenge they should do and which star level to aim at. Then the next challenge they need to





#### Learning, Growing, Achieving Together

move onto. This ensures the children have a focus and are not aimlessly wondering. Also because the now and next boards are set by the teachers there is accountability, that the children know the adults are checking on the work they are doing and what they should be doing. That way they cannot hide under the radar. This has worked for some children, in making them more focused and increased the amount of challenge work being completed. In the review by the ALT it was commented how children were engaged and on task during independent learning time. During SIM meetings and data collection there is a focus on looking a boys progress as well as achievement. In Autumn 1 as a school there was a lesson study conducted to look at the learning of pupil premium children. The teachers got a lot from this and were able to adapt their teaching from lessons learnt. Therefore we are going to continue this approach and apply it to boys in the Spring term.

The boys to focus on will be those who have not made 1 point progress across the first term, regardless on their starting point. This is to try and identify what stopped them making the progress and fixing it for the Spring term.

Teachers need to be looking at the boys making 1 point progress across a whole academic term and 0.5 points progress in a half term. This must be made regardless of their starting point. This progress is continually to be discussed and be kept in mind

<u>Are we doing all we can to aid these children's journey through?</u> We've tried Place2Be, referred to CAMH and not been able to achieve, been rejected for EHCP, ML – why? If we don't have a diagnosis then won't get through. IM explained that this should be the conversation.

<u>AC asked have we had the opportunity to visit other schools</u> to see what they are doing? It's when they meet several characteristics. I think that is a good idea to visit other schools. KY has visited Red Oak to support disadvantage.

<u>SP asked is there practice from other settings?</u>, e.g. Highfields that could be borrowed that may help with managing behaviour. KY explained that we had worked with Highfields in the past

Why do we have "Disadvantaged" children falling short of National %?

It is always difficult to compare all disadvantaged children to the national average – you should be comparing the disadvantaged





#### Learning, Growing, Achieving Together

children to the national disadvantaged. Chesterton's data is lower than the national disadvantaged data. Many of our disadvantaged children fall into many categories, e.g. boys, SEND etc. However, all of our disadvantaged children have a one page profile and additional support and needs. The teachers' carried out a lesson study looking at the disadvantaged and what support their learning. Within our Year 3 cohort, we have two disadvantaged children with significant EBD needs and are working in Mulberry, 1 new child with significant needs and on a very part time timetable and 1 new child. One child is on track in all areas and the other is on track in a couple of areas. Those children without additional needs, make the progress like all of the children.

### **QUESTIONS FROM SP**

In the school performance summaries I would welcome some clarity on where the national averages sit against Chesterton and IOE performance on the graphs - especially around disadvantages students. I don't fully understand how we compare.

So the data in front of you is not comparable to national data at this time as we are using an internal system to track attainment and progress. We can only compare against the national ages at the end of Key Stage 1, EYFS and Phonics – this data was presented to you at the end of the summer term.

If the trend continues it looks like again we should hit our targets for EYFS, phonics and Key Stage 1.

The national data for disadvantaged for EYFS was 57.3% and Chesterton's was 50% and for Key Stage 1, reading: National – 62.5%, Chesterton 33%, Ely 57.1% Writing, 55.4% Chesterton 16.7%, Ely 42.9% and maths 62.8%, Chesterton 33.3%, 42.9%

However this is a national figure with disadvantaged children and as long as we have a strategy and we can identify our barriers, which we do have, and the children have one page profiles, then we are trying to support these children.

I have also noted that there is difference between national average and our performance around supporting students to work at greater depth. I know this is something we have worked hard on at IoE - could you just update us on how both schools are approaching this?

The school has the more able strategy and we are continually reviewing our more able but the data shown cannot be compared to any national averages until the end of each key stage.





Learnina.	Growina.	Achieving	Together
Econing,	<b>C</b> , <b>C</b> , <b>m</b> , <b>m</b> , <b>g</b> ,	, lenne inng	regether

r	Learning, Growing, Achieving Together	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Finally I am interested to know how staff teams across both					
	schools look at data and therefore how good teaching and					
	learning practices are shared across schools. I note that IOE					
	seems to do well in maths for example and would like to					
	understand more about how staff share what works.					
	At IOE we have a really strong Maths lead and at Chesterton the maths					
	lead is new to the role. However, the Chesterton's maths lead is doing					
	LAL project around maths and is using a lot of the documents from Ely					
	to look at maths provision at Chesterton.					
	Look at the data and integrate it but den't take it as geneal truth. What					
	Look at the data and integrate it but don't take it as gospel truth. What					
	really matters is where is the trend.					
	As long as the projects are purposeful for both					
7	SAFEGUARDING					
	AC QUESTION: Do we have enough DPs trained?					
	Yes there are four at Chesterton with one further member of staff being					
	trained and 3 at Ely. All staff are aware they can phone us if we					
	are off site or another school if they have a concern.					
8	HEALTH AND SAFETY REVIEW					
	The governors acknowledged that both reports seem quite positive.					
	AC QUESTION: Is this getting enough attention? Yes as it's					
	discussed at the monthly H & S Meetings					
	The actual reports will be presented at the next meeting					
9	EDUCATIONAL VISITS					
	Discussed taking the Year 4/5 children at Chesterton to Bushcraft and					
	how we could enable this to happen if not enough children					
	signed up for it. IM explained that child poverty is East					
	Chesterton is high so we need to encourage and do all we can					
	to ensure that trip goes ahead.					
10	GOVERNOR TRAINING	BS				
	Governors would like focussed training on data from ALT, either James					
	Chester, David Hilton or Joy Parke.					
	Governors would also like training in talking to Ofsted.					
11	Any Other Business					
11.1	KY explained that there are a lot of late children at Chesterton who are					
	marked down as a U. KY would like to change the start time of					
11.2	Chesterton so that there was a rolling start from 0850 to 0900					
11.2	instead of 0835 to 0845 as it is currently.	BS				
	QUESTION TG: Will that later start mean any change in behaviour					
	or does it mean that they will then arrive even later? KY					
1	$\mathbf{v}$ viewes it mean that they will then different even idter ( $\mathbf{N}$					
	didn't think so. TG has suggested that KY documents evidence,					





#### Learning, Growing, Achieving Together

	investigates it further and brings it to the next Governing Body Meeting.	KY
	ALT are receiving a visit from HMI The governors would like any new members of SLT to be invited to the next meeting.	
12	Dates of Future Meetings – to confirm the date of the next meeting. Wednesday 6 <sup>th</sup> March Tuesday 30 <sup>th</sup> April Wednesday 9 <sup>th</sup> July	