## Pupil premium strategy / self-evaluation 2019 - 2020

| 1. Summary information                 |           |                                  |          |  |             |
|--|-----------|----------------------------------|----------|--|-------------|
| School Manchester Road Primary Academy |           |                                  |          |  |             |
| Academic Year                          | 2019 - 20 | Total PP budget                  | £190,080 | Date of most recent PP Review                  | 4.9.19      |
| Total number of pupils                 | 428       | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 131      | Date for next internal review of this strategy | Feb<br>2020 |

| 2. C   | current attainment   |               | 3.    |                              |        |
|--------|--|---------------|-------|------------------------------|--------|
|        |  |               |       | Pupils eligible for PP (your | Pupils |
| % ach  | ieving expected standard or above in reading, writing & maths  |               |       | 60%                          | 70%    |
| Progr  | ess measure reading  |               | +0.34 | +1.59                        |        |
| Progr  | ess measure writing  |               |       | +3.05                        | +2.31  |
| Progr  | ess measure maths  |               | +2.14 | +4.14                        |        |
| 4. B   | arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)  | 1             | 5.    |                              |        |
| Acade  | emic barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral langue<br>Development of Phonics in the early stages of reading, ensuring child |               |       |                              |        |
|        | access to high quality materials.  |               |       |                              |        |
| В.     | Social and emotional needs that are impacted by home/social enviror  | nments        |       |                              |        |
| C.     | Reading and writing stamina, linked to fluency and handwriting.  |               |       |                              |        |
| Additi | onal barriers (including issues which also require action outside school,  | , such as low |       |                              |        |
| D.     | Lack of funding to ensure that children have full access to resources a equipment needed to take part fully in the curriculum.                     | and           |       |                              |        |

| 6. | Intended outcomes (specific  | Success criteria  | Actions:  |
|----|--|---|---|
| A. | Improve results in reading<br>and phonics so that<br>attainment and progress in<br>EY, KS1, phonics screening<br>and KS2 are in line or above<br>national. | Results through the year and at the end of each key<br>stage show improved attainment in line with<br>national expectation for EY, phonics screening, KS1<br>and KS2.<br>PP children achieve results in - line with their non<br>PP peers.<br>Interventions impact positively on progress so that<br>PP children make at least expected progress, and<br>that for targeted children, this progress is exceeded.   | <ul> <li>SLT – pupil progress meetings and identified children tracked each half term.</li> <li>VS – Phonics assessments and 1:1 children identified each half term.</li> <li>SLT - PP children highlighted through monitoring process – focus on book scrutiny and data.</li> <li>EB – Intervention tracking – monitoring successful interventions with high impact.</li> <li>SLT – identify training needs of staff across reading. INSET day in September – split into phases and focus on the needs and process for the children.</li> <li>SLT - Monitor quality of reading sessions half termly.</li> <li>NQT Mentors – Ensure NQT's have materials and training necessary to deliver quality reading sessions.</li> </ul> |
| В. | To ensure that the social<br>and emotional needs of<br>children are met so that they<br>are ready to learn.  | Children who are on the school vulnerable register<br>are attending regularly (over 95%), and the PA for<br>these children is reduced (below 12%PA children<br>who are PP)<br>Results across year groups show children who are<br>PP are attaining their expected outcomes.<br>Support put in place reduces behaviour incidents,<br>internal exclusions and external exclusions.<br>Children report feeling supported, ready to learn<br>and feel that they are making good progress in their |   |

| C. | Improve the progress made<br>by PP children in KS2 maths<br>through ensuring more<br>children reach greater<br>depth, and that this figure is<br>in line with their peers. | A higher percentage of PP children attain the GD<br>level in KS2.<br>Progress made by PP children is in line with that<br>made by their peers. |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| D. | To ensure PP children have<br>access and take part in<br>experiences and extra –<br>curricular activities.   | That all PP children attend school trips and<br>experiences and have the correct equipment/<br>resources for school.                           |  |

| 7. Review of expenditure       |             |  |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Previous Academic Year         | 2018 - 2019 |  |
| i. Quality of teaching for all |             |  |

| Action   | Intended outcome  | Estimated impact:  | Lessons learned  | Cost    |
|--|---|--|--|---------|
| Ensure that teaching<br>across the school is<br>consistently good in<br>reading and writing      | Improved progress in reading<br>and writing for PP children so<br>that it is in line with their<br>peers. | PP children in KS2 made above national progress in reading<br>and writing. The progress made in writing was above that of<br>non PP children.                  | Ensuring consistency and supporting children who<br>are not making expected progress has enabled<br>children to make better than expected progress in<br>reading and writing.  | £60,000 |
|  |   |  | The support has involved small group intervention,<br>involvement from the SLT, training moderators for<br>writing in school and attending CPD. Teaching<br>assistants and apprentices have played a vital role in<br>this – particularly for those children whom are PP<br>and SEN.       |         |
|  |   |  | The introduction of White Rose mathematics has<br>ensured that the sequence and progression of<br>mathematics is consistent, and has good<br>opportunities for all children to make progress, and<br>to access deeper learning opportunities so that they<br>are working at greater depth. |         |
| Increased staffing in Early<br>Years<br>Targeted interventions.                                  | Ensure that more children<br>attain GLD at the end of Early<br>Years.                                     | More children in EY to attain a Good Level of Development –<br>including those who are PP and children who have been<br>identified as having additional needs. | There was an increase in the amount of PP children<br>who attained GLD from 57% in 2018 to 71.4% in<br>2019.   | £32,000 |
| Employment of speech and language therapist.   | That Reading and writing<br>attainment is in line with the<br>national picture.                           |  | Reading and writing for PP children was well above<br>the national picture in 2019. National was 57.8%.<br>The practice put in place was higly effective, and will   |         |
| Parental involvement.<br>Emphasis on small steps of<br>progress during weekly<br>phase meetings. |   |  | continue through 2019 -20.   |         |

| To ensure that children are<br>supported throughout the<br>foundation subjects so that<br>progress is made in skills<br>across the curriculum | That PP children have<br>opportunities to develop skills<br>in line with their peers across<br>a range of subjects – ensuring<br>they are ready for their next<br>steps. | All PP children, and those whom are PP and SEN are gaining<br>the knowledge and skills across the curriculum. | Books scrutiny and child interviews showed that PP<br>children were gaining the knowledge and<br>understanding that their peers were.<br>Continued support in classes will continue through<br>the form of TA's and apprentices. | £ 35,000 |
|---|--|---|--|----------|
|   |  |   |  |          |
|   |  |   |  |          |
|   |  |   |  |          |

| ii. Targeted supp  | ii. Targeted support  |   |   |      |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|------|--|--|--|
| Action   | Intended outcome  | Estimated impact:   | Lessons learned   | Cost |  |  |  |
| Action   | Intended outcome  | Estimated impact:   | Lessons learned   |      |  |  |  |
| Well – being team<br>established to ensure<br>children are identified and<br>pastoral support put in<br>place. | Attainment and progress<br>increases towards National<br>expectations. Fewer<br>exclusions and isolations<br>recorded.                                      | The children who are identified as being vulnerable did make<br>good progress – both in their academic and social/ emotional<br>development. Parents reported that the help received in<br>school helped their children, and that this was having a<br>positive impact on their well – being.   | The employment of a behaviour and inclusion leader<br>has made a difference to the level of internal and<br>external exclusions. Clear strategies and plans put in<br>place were able to be monitored with rigour.  |      |  |  |  |
| Practice from Steve Peters<br>is used by staff and some<br>principles adopted in<br>school                     | CPOMS records show<br>improved outcomes for PP<br>children. Child interviews with<br>children who need behaviour<br>input show they feel ready to<br>learn. | Teachers had a mechanism for helping children with SEMH,<br>and had clear strategies and plans in place to ensure children<br>had consistent and fair messages.<br>Exclusions in terms 2 and 3 were reduced significantly, and<br>weekly behaviour records showed a reduction in children<br>reaching level 3 (red) on the behaviour system.<br>The school councillor has been able to ensure that concerns<br>expressed by children feeling anxious have been shared and | To add to this work, school is investing in the nuture<br>networking program across the school. This will<br>enhance the current provision, and embed practice<br>that will benefit all children, as well as those with<br>known emotional/ social needs.<br>The counselling sessions have played a very<br>important part of the provision in school. There have<br>been some short – term periods for children, and<br>some more long term. |      |  |  |  |
| School Counsellor<br>employed  |   | any actions followed up by the pastoral/ safeguarding team at MRPA.   | The most effective way in which to use this is to<br>provide children with SEMH in school with support in<br>class and during group work. Measure the success<br>and improved outcomes for the children, and if this<br>is slow or does not improve, refer to the counsellor  |      |  |  |  |

| Principal and pastoral  | To reduce the persistent | Persistent absence has fallen to 10.7 in 2018 -19 for PP | The method used of dedicated time to tackling | £25,000 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---------|
| leader to improve the   | absence in PP children   | children – lower that overall PA of 11.09.               | persistent absence has worked effectively.    |         |
| attendance of PP        | from 17% to 12%          |  |   |         |
| children and reduce the |                          |  | Weekly meetings, home visits, meetings in     |         |
| percentage of           |                          |  | school, clarity of procedures, and the        |         |
| persistently absent     |                          |  | involvement of the EWO.                       |         |
| children.               |                          |  |   |         |
|                         |                          |  |   |         |
|                         |                          |  |   |         |
|                         |                          |  |   |         |
|                         |                          |  |   |         |
|                         |                          |  |   |         |

## iii. Other approaches

| Intended outcome  | Estimated impact:                                    | Lessons learned  | Cost  |
|---|--|--|---|
|   |  |  |   |
| To ensure that PP children<br>have access to a wide and<br>varied curriculum. | have the correct uniform and resources for school.   | resources needed for school – particularly school<br>trips, as the prices of travel continue to increase.  | £34,000   |
|   |  | This funding will continue, and will need to rise in line with the increasing number of children eligible  |   |
|   |  | for PP.  |   |
|   |  |  |   |
|   |  |  |   |
|   |  |  |   |
|   |  | TOTAL EXPENDITURE 2018 -19   | £191,000  |
|   | To ensure that PP children have access to a wide and | To ensure that PP children       PP children attend school trip, extra - curricular activities, and have the correct uniform and resources for school. | To ensure that PP children<br>have access to a wide and<br>varied curriculum.       PP children attend school trip, extra - curricular activities, and<br>have the correct uniform and resources for school.       Increasingly, parents are unable to pay for the<br>resources needed for school – particularly school<br>trips, as the prices of travel continue to increase.         This funding will continue, and will need to rise in<br>line with the increasing number of children eligible<br>for PP. |

| Academic year  | 2019 - 20   |   |   |            |                                      |
|--|---|---|---|------------|--------------------------------------|
| i. Quality of teac   | hing for all  |   |   |            |                                      |
| Action   | Intended<br>outcome   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will you ensure it is implemented well?   | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
| Employment of Teaching<br>Assistants to ensure good<br>progress in phonics and<br>reading in EY and KS1. | Higher percentage of PP<br>children to pass the<br>phonics screening test,<br>from 73% in 2019 to 85%<br>in 2020. | The teaching of structured phonics has a<br>higher impact when children can be placed in<br>smaller groups which are targeted<br>specifically at their stage of development.  | Monitoring and assessments taking place<br>half termly.<br>1:1 top up sessions for children who are<br>not making expected progress.<br>Staff training to ensure everyone is up to<br>date and there are consistently | VS/JT      | Every half term                      |
| Update of reading and writing materials in KS1.  | Children in KS1 who are<br>PP to attain in line with<br>national expectation in<br>reading and writing.           | Phonics books matched to the children's<br>stage of development in phonics leads to<br>more consistent and rapid progress. It<br>ensures children are not confused by the<br>materials in school and those sent home. | Reading records from the children show regular reading of phonics scheme books.   | VS/VL/JT   | Reviewed half termly.                |
| Total budgeted cost  |   |   |   |            | £58,000                              |
| ii. Targeted supp  | ort<br>Intended   | What is the evidence and  | How will you ensure it is   | Staff lead | When will you review                 |
|  | outcome   | rationale for this choice?  | implemented well?   |            | implementation?                      |

| 1:1 phonics and reading   | PP and PP + SEN children   | 1:1 top up support ensures that children who | Reading lead in KS1 will ensure quality  | VS/JT       | Every half term              |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|-------------|------------------------------|
| support in EY and KS1.    | attain in line with        | do not make good progress at each point in   | and provision.                           |             |                              |
|                           | national average and       | the reading process make accelerated         |  |             |                              |
|                           | make good progress.        | progress.                                    | Assessments carried out every half term. |             |                              |
|                           |                            |  |  |             |                              |
|                           |                            |  |  |             |                              |
|                           |                            |  |  |             |                              |
| SEMH support for children | Chlidren learn skills and  | The Nuture network programme has been        | Training, CDP for staff, evaluation of   | JM/JT       | Weekly well – being meetings |
| who need input around     | knowledge which will       | developed and used by schools over a period  | materials by SLT.                        |             | Weekly behaviour monitoring. |
| social and emotional      | help them to self –        | of time.                                     | Impact summaries from pastoral team in   |             |                              |
| development.              | regulate.                  | The 'Thrive' program sets clear targets for  | school.                                  |             |                              |
|                           | Less time out sessions for | children and adults, and helps to measure    | Child and parent feedback.               |             |                              |
|                           | the children targeted.     | and assess the progress children are making. |  |             |                              |
|                           |                            |  |  |             |                              |
|                           | Reduced incidents on the   | Employment of behaviour and inclusion        |  |             |                              |
|                           | playground.                | leader,                                      |  |             |                              |
|                           |                            |  |  |             |                              |
|                           |                            |  |  |             |                              |
|                           |                            |  |  |             |                              |
|                           |                            |  | Total bu                                 | dgeted cost | £48,000                      |
| iii. Other approac        | hes                        |  |  |             |                              |
| Action                    | Intended                   | What is the evidence and                     | How will you ensure it is                | Staff lead  | When will you review         |
|                           | outcome                    | rationale for this choice?                   | implemented well?                        |             | implementation?              |
| To ensure PP              | All P children             | Children who have full access                | Allocate funding and ensure              | JB/ND       | Monthly budget reviews       |
| children have             | have correct               | to all areas of school life make             | that any trips and resources             |             |                              |
| equal access to           | resources for              | better progress.                             | needed are funded.                       |             |                              |
| the curriculum and        | school.                    |  |  |             |                              |
| school resources.         | Trips and visits           |  |  |             |                              |
|                           | are attended by            |  |  |             |                              |
|                           | all PP children.           |  |  |             |                              |
|                           |                            |  |  |             |                              |

|                      | £32,000  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                      | £138,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Additional detail |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2019 Results for Children reaching Expected levels

| EY     | Literacy      |    | Mathematic | Mathematics |          | GLD  |  |  |
|--------|---------------|----|------------|-------------|----------|------|--|--|
|        | National MRPA |    | National   | MRPA        | National | MRPA |  |  |
| All    | 73            | 72 | 72         | 78          | 72       | 72   |  |  |
| PP     | 58            | 71 | 64         | 71          | 56       | 71   |  |  |
| Non PP | 76            | 75 | 81         | 75          | 74       | 75   |  |  |
| SEN    | 28            | 22 | 36         | 22          | 24       | 22   |  |  |

| Y1     | Phonics  |      |  |  |  |
|--------|----------|------|--|--|--|
|        | National | MRPA |  |  |  |
|        |          |      |  |  |  |
| All    | 81.9     | 74.6 |  |  |  |
| PP     | 71       | 73   |  |  |  |
| Non PP | 84       | 75   |  |  |  |
| SEN    | 47.7     | 55.6 |  |  |  |

| Y2     | Phonics  |      |  |  |  |
|--------|----------|------|--|--|--|
|        | National | MRPA |  |  |  |
|        |          |      |  |  |  |
| All    | 91       | 87   |  |  |  |
| PP     |          |      |  |  |  |
| Non PP |          |      |  |  |  |
| SEN    |          |      |  |  |  |

| KS1    | Reading       |      | Writing  |      | Mathematics |      | RWM      |      |
|--------|---------------|------|----------|------|-------------|------|----------|------|
|        | National MRPA |      | National | MRPA | National    | MRPA | National | MRPA |
| All    | 74.9          | 64.5 | 69.2     | 59.7 | 75.6        | 64.5 | 64.9     | 54.8 |
| PP     | 62            | 53.3 | 54.9     | 46.7 | 62.6        | 60   | 50       | 47   |
| Non PP | 78.2          | 53.3 | 72.9     | 63.8 | 79.1        | 66   | 68.8     | 57.4 |
| SEN    | 32.7          | 25   | 24       | 25   | 36.1        | 25   | 7.5      | 18.8 |

| KS2    | Reading  |      | Writing  |      | Mathematics |      | GAPS     |      | RWM      |      |
|--------|----------|------|----------|------|-------------|------|----------|------|----------|------|
|        | National | MRPA | National | MRPA | National    | MRPA | National | MRPA | National | MRPA |
| All    | 73       | 70   | 78       | 80   | 78          | 80   | 78       | 73   | 64.8     | 64.4 |
| PP     | 62       | 66   | 67       | 80   | 67          | 77   | 67       | 68   | 51.4     | 60   |
| Non PP | 62       | 66   | 67       | 80   | 67          | 77   | 67       | 68   | 70       | 60   |
| SEN    | 35       | 17   | 33       | 50   | 40          | 41   | 36       | 50   | 21       | 17   |