Pupil premium strategy statement (The Rackham CE Primary School)

School	The Rackha	Im CE Primary School				
Academic Year	2017-2018	Total PP budget	£39,480	Date of most recer	Date of most recent PP Review Date for next internal review of this strategy	
Total number of pupils	306	Number of pupils eligible for PP	34	Date for next inter		
2. Attainment 2016/201	7					
			Pupils	eligible for PP (Rackham)	Pupils not eligible for PP (nati	ional average)
Expected standard Reading				63%	77%	
Expected Standard Writing				38%	81%	
Expected Standard Maths				50%	80%	
Expected Standard Combined				38% 67%		
3. Barriers to future at	ainment					
In-school barriers						
Gaps in prior learning.						
Specific Learning needs-7 child	ren in receipt of	PPG are also SEND				
Mobility-11 children in receipt of	PPG did not joir	n the school in Reception.				
Readiness for learning-including	g self –esteem, b	ehavioural difficulties and attitude to learning				
External barriers (issues	which also re	equire action outside school, such as	low attendan	ce rates)		
Changeable home environment						
Low attainment on entry to EYF	S.					
Access to resources and/or life	experiences suc	h as books, trips and visits.				
4. Desired outcomes						
Desired outcomes and ho	ired outcomes and how they will be measured			Success criteria		
Gaps identified and plugged three	tified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.			Quality first teaching and targeted interventions will be succe plugging the identified gaps.		successful in

	Pupils will be given every opportunity to participate in new experiences such as learning a musical instrument, attending school clubs and day/residential trips. All learners will be able to take part irrespective of their financial background.
Learners who attain equally to or better than national figures	The gap between PP attainment in school and non-PP attainment nationally will diminish.

5. Planned expenditure

Acadamia	VOOR
Academic	year

2017-2018

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality first teaching for all Implementation When will you Desired outcome Chosen action / What is the evidence and rationale Staff lead for this choice? review approach implementation? School's monitoring cycle СВ December 2018 Learners who attain Support of School The effects of high-quality teaching are Improvement Plan to especially significant for pupils from BH equally to or better than national figures. disadvantaged backgrounds: over a school ensure that all teaching is at least good with a higher year, these pupils gain 1.5 years' worth of proportion of outstanding. learning with very effective teachers compared with 0.5 years with poorly performing teachers. (Sutton Trust finding) Total budgeted cost | N/A ii. Targeted support What is the evidence and rationale Chosen Implementation Staff lead When will you **Desired outcome** action/approach for this choice? review implementation? СВ Communication between class April 2018 Gaps identified and Small group tuition for Develop reading skills and confidence of JP plugged through quality reading-targeted at gaps those children who need support to reach teacher and tutor and between tutor first teaching and identified by class end of year expectations and/or make and PP lead. targeted interventions. expected progress. teachers. Higher level boosters to develop higher level reading skills and enable children to exceed expectations at the end of the year.

Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Small group tuition for writing- targeted at gaps identified by class teachers.	Develop writing skills and confidence of those children who need support to reach end of year expectations and/or make expected progress. Higher level boosters to develop higher level writing skills and enable children to exceed expectations at the end of the year.	Communication between class teacher and tutor and between tutor and PP lead.	CB JP	April 2018
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Small group tuition for maths targeted at gaps identified by class teachers.	Develop maths skills and confidence of those children who need support to reach end of year expectations and/or make expected progress. Higher level boosters to develop higher level maths skills and enable children to exceed expectations at the end of the year.	Communication between class teacher and tutor and between tutor and PP lead.	СВ	April 2018
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Reading intervention- Inference group	Develop inference in reading skills and confidence of those children who need support to reach end of year expectations and/or make expected progress.	Communication between class teacher and tutor and between tutor and PP lead.	СВ	April 2018
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Assertive Mentoring release time for class teacher.	Children can be coached individually to address issues, embed targets and increase the impact of quality first teaching. Issues with learning behaviours can be addressed to remove barriers to learning.	School's monitoring cycle.	СВ	April 2018
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Assertive Mentoring covered by HLTA	Children can be coached individually to address issues, embed targets and increase the impact of quality first teaching. Issues with learning behaviours can be addressed to remove barriers to learning.	Communication between HLTA and class teacher.	СВ	April 2018
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	TA small group work/work in class. Extra funding to provide more TA hours.	Provide support for identified pupils, in and out of the classroom in small group work. Enhance quality first teaching.			April 2018

			Total bu	dgeted cost	£32,550
iii. Other approaches					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	Implementation	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Readiness for learning, including self-esteem, behavioural difficulties and attitude to learning.	Pastoral/Mentoring	To resolve issues so that children are able to focus on learning. Barriers to learning are removed.	Weekly meetings with LS, LG, BH. Communication between Pastoral TAs, class teachers, HT.	CB AB LS LG	April 2018
Exposure to a wide range of opportunities that will enrich childrens' experiences.	Funding towards residential/day trips. Burwell House (Y4) and Ravenstor (Y6)	To ensure that all children have access to residential/day trips. These help to widen horizons, raise self-esteem, build on skills such as teamwork and help children to gain new experiences in a safe and enriching environment.	Y6 trip planned for Autumn term. Y4 is a shorter residential trip early in the Spring term. Planned by year group leaders and their teams.		
			Total bu	dgeted cost	£5591

Previous Academic	Year			
i. Quality of teach	ing for all			
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Learners who attain equally to or better than national figures.	Support of School Improvement Plan to ensure that all teaching is at least good with a higher proportion of outstanding.	Impact: high Through school's monitoring cycle, it is evident that 95% of teaching is consistently good with a high proportion (40-50%) of outstanding lessons. Success criteria met.	Approach will be continued next year as part of Sip/ school monitoring cycle.	
ii. Targeted suppo	ort	1		
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost £32,550 for this section
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Small group tuition for reading-targeted at gaps identified by class teachers.	Impact: High Observed increased confidence and improved learning behaviours. Attainment of pupils improved.	Most effective when communication between class teacher and tutor was good. Need to think carefully about when sessions take place Support will continue next year.	
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Small group tuition for writing- targeted at gaps identified by class teachers.	Impact: High Observed increased confidence and improved learning behaviours. Attainment of pupils improved.	Most effective when communication between class teacher and tutor was good. Need to think carefully about when sessions take place Support will continue next year.	
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Small group tuition for maths targeted at gaps identified by class teachers.	Impact: High Observed increased confidence and improved learning behaviours. Attainment of pupils improved.	Most effective when communication between class teacher and tutor was good. Need to think carefully about when sessions take place Support will continue next year.	
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Reading intervention- Inference group	Impact: medium Success Criteria partially met.	Group did not happen as often as planned due to higher priority issues with pastoral/family issues. Will not continue next year as higher priority on personnel involved in pastoral/family issues.	
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Assertive Mentoring release time for class teacher.	Impact: high Success criteria met	1:1 time between teachers and pupils very valuable. Will not continue next year due to moving away from Assertive Mentoring system. However, tutor may use results/identified gaps and areas for development from skills checks in tutoring sessions.	

Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	Assertive Mentoring covered by HLTA	Impact: medium Success Criteria partially met.	1:1 time between TA and pupils very valuable. Will not continue next year due to moving away from Assertive Mentoring system. However, tutor may use results/identified gaps and areas for development from skills checks in tutoring sessions.	
Gaps identified and plugged through quality first teaching and targeted interventions.	TA small group work/work in class. Extra funding to provide more TA hours.	Impact: high Success Criteria met	Enabled specific children to access learning in the classroom. Will continue next year.	
iii. Other approach	es			
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Readiness for learning, including self-esteem,	Pastoral/Mentoring	Impact: high Success criteria met.	Highly successful when timetabled sessions are run and not taken over by other matters. Will continue next year and ensure these sessions take absolute priority over other	£4591
behavioural difficulties and attitude to learning.			school matters.	

7. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to inform the statement above. Our full strategy document can be found online at: www.aschool.sch.uk