

(2018 / 2019)

Dave Jenner September 2019

# Headline Results

## <u>English</u>

- 100% made good or better progress against St. Nicholas criteria, 65% outstanding.
- 100% achieved at least median quartile. 70% made UQ progress or above.
- 65% at least met national expectations (2 levels); 4 pupils exceeded.
- 80% achieved 2+ VA levels, 55% made 3+ VA levels
- 10 out of 10 students achieved at least CASPA expectation and 8 were above expectation

#### Resulting actions in 2019/20

- To target the higher attaining students in Yr 6 to ensure that they continue to accelerate their progression pathway, through new class structure and learning environments.
- Monitor the impact of this initiative using the fully implemented pupil asset assessment tool

- Review the St. Nicholas School progress definitions to reflect extended challenges required by our pupils showing outstanding progress.
- SHINE curriculum for high needs learners to be implemented to further develop opportunities for knowledge, skills and understanding of themselves and the world around them.
- Embed the introduction of functional English skills accreditation into the KS 3 curriculum
- Enhance partnerships and opportunities created by our KS 3 satellite initiative.
- Moderation of Pupil Asset level judgements at Key Stage meetings.

| Name | Need<br>Type | Level<br>at<br>start<br>of<br>Yr.6 | Level<br>at<br>end<br>of<br>Yr.9 | % pupils<br>nationally who<br>made <b>LESS</b><br>progress from the<br>same starting<br>point | % pupils<br>nationally who<br>made THE<br>SAME progress<br>from the same<br>starting point | % pupils<br>nationally who<br>made <b>GREATER</b><br>progress from the<br>same starting<br>point | Progress<br>made as<br>"Quartiles" | "National<br>expectation<br>s" (i.e. 2<br>levels met<br>or 3<br>exceeded) | Value<br>Added | "St. Nicholas<br>criteria"<br>(concern,<br>good, or<br>outstanding) | Caspa | Story / context |
|------|--------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|------------------------------------|---|----------------|---|-------|-----------------|
|      | SLD          | 8.8                                | 2c.6                             | 29%   | 21%  | 48%  | UQ                                 | Met   | 3.8            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 1a                                 | 2b                               | 15%   | 38%  | 69%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 2              | Good  | N/A   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 6                                  | 7.6                              | 20%   | 17%  | 63%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 1.6            | Good  | EP    |                 |
|      | PMD          | 2ii                                | 4                                | 68%   | 20%  | 12%  | UQ                                 | Exceeded  | 3              | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 1c.4                               | 2c.4                             | 15%   | 38%  | 69%  | UQ                                 | Not   | 3              | Good  | N/A   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 7.6                                | 2c.4                             | 69%   | 15%  | 16%  | UQ++++                             | Exceeded  | 4.8            | Outstanding   | N/A   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 5.6                                | 7.4                              | 29%   | 21%  | 48%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 1.8            | Outstanding   | EP    |                 |
|      | SLD          | 8.8                                | 2c.2                             | 29%   | 21%  | 48%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 3.4            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | CLD          | 1b.6                               | 2b                               | 15%   | 38%  | 69%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 2.4            | Good  | N/A   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 8                                  | 2c                               | 29%   | 21%  | 48%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 4              | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 6.8                                | 8.2                              | <u>22%</u>  | <u>19%</u>   | <u>59%</u>   | UQ                                 | Met   | 1.4            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 8.8                                | 2c.6                             | 29%   | 21%  | 48%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 3.8            | Outstanding   | N/A   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 8.4                                | 2c.2                             | 29%   | 21%  | 48%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 3.8            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | CLD          | 1b.4                               | 2c.6                             | 15%   | 38%  | 69%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 2.2            | Good  | N/A   |                 |
|      | CLD          | 8.4                                | 2c.2                             | 29%   | 21%  | 48%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 3.8            | Outstanding   | N/A   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 6.6                                | 1a                               | 74%   | 15%  | 11%  | UQ+++                              | Exceeded  | 4.4            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | CLD          | 1a.2                               | 2b.4                             | 15%   | 38%  | 69%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 2.2            | Good  | N/A   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 5.2                                | 7                                | 29%   | 21%  | 48%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 1.8            | Good  | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 1a                                 | 2b.6                             | 15%   | 38%  | 69%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 2.6            | Outstanding   | N/A   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 6.2                                | 1a                               | 74%   | 15%  | 11%  | UQ+++                              | Exceeded  | 4.8            | Outstanding   | N/A   |                 |

# <u>Maths</u>

- 100% made good or better progress against St. Nicholas criteria, 65% achieved outstanding.
- 100% achieved at least the median quartile / 55% achieved at least UQ.
- 85% of pupils made at least 2 VA levels of progress. 45% made at least 3 VA levels of progress.

• 11 of the 11 students with a CASPA data record, at least reached this expectation. 82% of pupils achieved above the CASPA expectation - when their performance was compared to other pupils nationwide.

#### Resulting action in 2019/20:

- To target the higher attaining students in Yr 6 to ensure that they continue to accelerate their progression pathway, through new class structure and learning environments.
- Monitor the impact of this initiative using the fully implemented pupil asset assessment tool.
- Review the St. Nicholas School progress definitions to reflect extended challenges required by our pupils showing outstanding progress.
- SHINE curriculum for high needs learners to be implemented to further develop opportunities for knowledge, skills and understanding of themselves and the world around them.
- Review the criteria for good and outstanding progress for pupils with PMLD.
- Moderation of Pupil Asset level judgements at Key Stage meetings.

| Name | Need<br>Type | Level<br>at<br>start<br>of<br>Yr.7 | Level<br>at<br>end<br>of<br>Yr.9 | % pupils<br>nationally who<br>made LESS<br>progress from<br>the same<br>starting point | % pupils<br>nationally who<br>made THE<br>SAME progress<br>from the same<br>starting point | % pupils<br>nationally who<br>made<br>GREATER<br>progress from<br>the same<br>starting point | Progress<br>made as<br>"Quartiles" | "National<br>expectations"<br>(i.e. 2 levels<br>met or<br>exceeded 3) | Value<br>Added | "St. Nicholas<br>criteria"<br>(concern,<br>good, or<br>outstanding) | Caspa | Story / context |
|------|--------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---|----------------|---|-------|-----------------|
|      | SLD          | 8.8                                | 2c.6                             | 46%  | 13%  | 28%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 4.6            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 1b.4                               | 2c.6                             | 23%  | 32%  | 45%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 2.2            | Good  | -     |                 |
|      | SLD          | 6.2                                | 8                                | 21%  | 23%  | 56%  | UQ                                 | Met   | 1.6            | Outstanding   | EP    |                 |
|      | PMD          | 2ii.4                              | 4                                | 63%  | 24%  | 13%  | UQ+                                | Exceeded  | 2.6            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 8.4                                | 2c.6                             | 46%  | 13%  | 28%  | UQ+                                | Exceeded  | 4.2            | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | SLD          | 1c                                 | 2b                               | 23%  | 32%  | 45%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 4              | Good  | -     |                 |
|      | SLD          | 6                                  | 8                                | 21%  | 23%  | 56%  | UQ                                 | Met   | 2              | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 8.6                                | 1b.6                             | 7%   | 19%  | 74%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 2              | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | CLD          | 8.6                                | 2c.6                             | 46%  | 13%  | 28%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 4              | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | SLD          | 8.2                                | 2c.4                             | 46%  | 13%  | 28%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 4.2            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 6.4                                | 8                                | 21%  | 23%  | 56%  | UQ                                 | Met   | 1.6            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD          | 1b.6                               | 2b.4                             | 23%  | 32%  | 45%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 4.8            | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | SLD          | 8.8                                | 2b                               | 46%  | 13%  | 28%  | UQ+                                | Met   | 4.2            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | CLD          | 1b.2                               | 2c.6                             | 23%  | 32%  | 45%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 2.4            | Good  | -     |                 |
|      | CLD          | 1b.4                               | 2b.4                             | 23%  | 32%  | 45%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 3              | Good  | -     |                 |
|      | SLD          | 6.4                                | 1c                               | 44%  | 18%  | 38%  | UQ+                                | Exceeded  | 2.6            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | CLD          | 1b.4                               | 2c.4                             | 23%  | 32%  | 45%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 2              | Good  | -     |                 |
|      | SLD          | 6                                  | 7                                | 9%   | 12   | 79%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 1              | Good  | EP    |                 |
|      | SLD          | 1b.6                               | 2b.4                             | 23%  | 32%  | 45%  | MQ                                 | Not   | 2.8            | Good  | -     |                 |
|      | SLD          | 6                                  | 1c.6                             | 44%  | 18%  | 38%  | UQ+                                | Exceeded  | 3.6            | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |

....

## <u>Science</u>

## <u>Headlines:</u>

- 100% made good and 60% made outstanding progress against the St Nicholas School criteria.
- 100% of pupils achieved at least MQ progress and 55% made at least Upper Quartile progress.
- 70% of pupils at least met national expectation (2 levels). 25% of pupils exceeded the national expectation.
- 85% of pupils made at least 2 VA levels. 75% of pupils made 3+ levels of VA progress.
- 4 pupils met and 6 pupils achieved above the CASPA expectation

(10 students in data set)

#### Resulting Actions in 2019/20

- To target the higher attaining students in Yr 6 to ensure that they continue to accelerate their progression pathway, through new class structure and learning environments.
- Monitor the impact of this initiative using the fully implemented pupil asset assessment tool
- Review the St. Nicholas School progress definitions to reflect extended challenges required by our pupils showing outstanding progress.

- SHINE curriculum for high needs learners to be implemented to further develop opportunities for knowledge, skills and understanding of themselves and the world around them.
- Review science planning and implement the EQUALS schemes of work to ensure breadth of coverage of the whole science curriculum.
- Continue Pupil Asset moderation meetings to ensure teachers are making consistent and accurate assessment of student attainment.

| Name | Need | Level      | Level      |             | "National      | Value | "St. Nicholas | Caspa |                 |
|------|------|------------|------------|-------------|----------------|-------|---------------|-------|-----------------|
|      | Туре | at         | at         | Progress    | expectations"  | Added | criteria"     |       |                 |
|      |      | start      | end        | made as     | (i.e. 2 levels |       | (concern,     |       | Story / context |
|      |      | of<br>Yr.7 | of<br>Yr.9 | "Quartiles" | met or         |       | good, or      |       |                 |
|      |      | 11.7       | 11.9       |             | exceeded 3)    |       | outstanding)  |       |                 |
|      | SLD  | 8          | 1a.4       | MQ          | Not            | 3.4   | Good          | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD  | 8          | 1a.4       | MQ          | Not            | 3.4   | Good          | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 6          | 7.2        | MQ          | Not            | 1.2   | Good          | EP    |                 |
|      | PMD  | 2ii.4      | 4          | MQ          | Exceeded       | 2.6   | Outstanding   | EP    |                 |
|      | SLD  | 7          | 2c.4       | UQ+         | Exceeded       | 5.4   | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 7          | 1A.4       | MQ          | Met            | 4.4   | Good          | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 5.6        | 7.2        | UQ          | Met            | 1.6   | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD  | 6.4        | 1B.4       | UQ          | Exceeded       | 4     | Outstanding   | EP    |                 |
|      | CLD  | 7          | 1A.4       | UQ          | Met            | 4.4   | Good          | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 7.2        | 1B.4       | UQ          | Met            | 3.2   | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD  | 6          | 7          | MQ          | Not            | 1     | Good          | EP    |                 |
|      | SLD  | 8          | 1A.4       | MQ          | Not            | 3.4   | Good          | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 7          | 1A.4       | MQ          | Met            | 4.4   | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | CLD  | 8          | 1A.4       | MQ          | Not            | 3.4   | Good          | 1     |                 |
|      | CLD  | 7          | 1A.4       | UQ          | Met            | 4.4   | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 6          | 1C         | UQ          | Exceeded       | 3     | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | CLD  | 8.8        | 2C         | UQ          | Met            | 3.8   | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD  | 5          | 7          | UQ          | Met            | 2     | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD  | 8.8        | 2C         | UQ          | Met            | 3.8   | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 5          | 1C.6       | UQ++        | Exceeded       | 4.6   | Outstanding   | -     |                 |

# <u>P.E.</u>

- 60% made outstanding progress against St. Nicholas criteria.
- 78% of pupils met the nationally expected 2 levels of progress across KS3.
- Only 35% at least exceeded 2 whole levels of progress.
- Only 40% of pupils made at least 2 Value Added (sub) levels of progress.
- 89% of students achieved above expected progress on CASPA.

#### Resulting Actions in 2019/20

- PE Enrichment groups for KS3 on-site classes.
- Training on use of the PE Pupil Asset assessment criteria.
- Guidance to teacher re. the recording of progress in PE (frequency)
- Teachers of PE enrichment groups to record student progress at least termly using Pupil Asset.
- Extension opportunities and inter-school events provided to challenge higher-attaining students.
- To target the higher attaining students in Yr 6 to ensure that they continue to accelerate their progression pathway, through new class structure and learning environments.
- Monitor the impact of this initiative using the fully implemented pupil asset assessment tool

• Review the St. Nicholas School progress definitions to reflect extended challenges required by our pupils showing outstanding progress.

| Name | Need | Level | Level | "National      | Value Added | "St. Nicholas | Caspa |   |
|------|------|-------|-------|----------------|-------------|---------------|-------|---|
|      |      | at    | at    | expectations"  |             | criteria"     |       |   |
|      |      | start | end   | (i.e. 2 levels | Number of   | (concern,     |       | Story / context   |
|      |      | of    | of    | met or 3       | benchmarks  | good, or      |       |   |
|      |      | Yr.7  | Yr.9  | exceeded)      | achieved    | outstanding)  |       |   |
|      | SLD  | 6.4   | 1b.4  | Exceeded       | 4           | Concern       | AEP   |   |
|      | SLD  | 1     | 1b.4  | Exceeded       | 1.4         | Outstanding   | NA    |   |
|      | SLD  | 6     | 6     | Not            | 0           | Concern       | BEP   | Change of assessment tool mid key stage. Student has mobility difficulties that                                   |
|      |      |       |       |                |             |               |       | have impacted on progress   |
|      | PMD  | 2ii.4 | 4     | Exceeded       | 2.6         | Outstanding   | AEP   |   |
|      | SLD  | 1c    | 1b.4  | Not            | 1.4         | Outstanding   | NA    |   |
|      | SLD  | 1c    | 1b.6  | Not            | 1.6         | Outstanding   | NA    |   |
|      | SLD  | 6     | 6.2   | Not            | 0.2         | Concern       | EP    | Change of assessment tool mid key stage with different expectations does not<br>accurately reflect progress made. |
|      | SLD  | 7     | 1a    | Met            | 4.2         | Outstanding   | AEP   |   |
|      | CLD  | 1b    | 1b.4  | Not            | 0.4         | Concern       | NA    | Change of assessment tool mid key stage with different expectations does not<br>accurately reflect progress made. |
|      | SLD  | 7     | 1b.4  | Met            | 3.4         | Outstanding   | AEP   |   |
|      | SLD  | 6.2   | 1b.6  | Exceeded       | 4.4         | Outstanding   | BEP   |   |
|      | SLD  | 1c.6  | 1b.6  | Not            | 1           | Outstanding   | NA    |   |
|      | SLD  | 8     | 1b.4  | Not            | 2.4         | Outstanding   | AEP   | -   |
|      | CLD  | 1b.6  | 1b.6  | Not            | 0           | Concern       | NA    | Change of assessment tool mid key stage with different expectations does not<br>accurately reflect progress made. |
|      | CLD  | 1c    | 1b.4  | Not            | 1.4         | Outstanding   | NA    |   |
|      | SLD  | 7.6   | 1a    | Met            | 3.4         | Outstanding   | AEP   |   |
|      | CLD  | 1b    | 1b.4  | Not            | 0.4         | Concern       | NA    | Change of assessment tool mid key stage with different expectations does not<br>accurately reflect progress made. |
|      | SLD  | 5.8   | 5.6   | Not            | -0.2        | Concern       | EP    | Change of assessment tool mid key stage with different expectations does not<br>accurately reflect progress made. |
|      | SLD  | 1b    | 1b.4  | Not            | 0.4         | Concern       | NA    | Change of assessment tool mid key stage with different expectations does not<br>accurately reflect progress made. |
|      | SLD  | 6     | 1a.4  | Exceeded       | 5.4         | Outstanding   | NA    |   |

## Computing

- 100% made good or better progress against St. Nicholas criteria, 40% outstanding.
- 40% at least met the national expectation. 4 exceeded the national expectation.
- 80% made at least 2 VA (sub) levels. 75% of pupils achieved 3+ VA levels.
- 82% pupils achieved at least expected progress on CASPA.

#### Resulting action in 2019/20:

- To target the higher attaining students in Yr 6 to ensure that they continue to accelerate their progression pathway, through new class structure and learning environments.
- Monitor the impact of this initiative using the fully implemented pupil asset assessment tool.
- Review the St. Nicholas School progress definitions to reflect extended challenges required by our pupils showing outstanding progress.
- Review the criteria for good and outstanding progress for pupils with PMLD.
- Ensure that students have access to a wide range of technologies and computing opportunities.
- Continue Pupil Asset moderation meetings to ensure teachers are making consistent and accurate assessment of student attainment

| Name | Need | Level | Level | "National      | Value Added | "St. Nicholas | Caspa |  |
|------|------|-------|-------|----------------|-------------|---------------|-------|--|
|      |      | at    | at    | expectations"  |             | criteria"     |       |  |
|      |      | start | end   | (i.e. 2 levels | Number of   | (concern,     |       | Story / context  |
|      |      | of    | of    | met or 3       | benchmarks  | good, or      |       |  |
|      |      | Yr.7  | Yr.9  | exceeded)      | achieved    | outstanding)  |       |  |
|      | SLD  | 8.4   | 2b.4  | Met            | 5           | Outstanding   | AEP   |  |
|      | SLD  | 1C    | 2b.4  | Not            | 4           | Good          | -     | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | SLD  | 6.6   | 7.4   | Not            | 0.8         | Good          | BEP   | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | PMD  | 2ii.4 | 4     | Exceeded       | 3           | Outstanding   | BEP   |  |
|      | SLD  | 1c    | 2b.4  | Not            | 4           | Good          | -     | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | SLD  | 1c    | 2b.4  | Not            | 4           | Good          | -     | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | SLD  | 6     | 7.4   | Not            | 1.4         | Good          | EP    | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | SLD  | 7.2   | 1A    | Met            | 3.8         | Outstanding   | AEP   |  |
|      | CLD  | 1c    | 2b.4  | Not            | 4           | Good          | -     | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | SLD  | 8     | 2c    | Met            | 4           | Outstanding   | AEP   |  |
|      | SLD  | 6     | 7.2   | Not            | 1.2         | Good          | EP    | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | SLD  | 1b.4  | 2b.4  | Not            | 3           | Good          | -     | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | SLD  | 7.2   | 2b.4  | Exceeded       | 6.2         | Outstanding   | AEP   | -  |
|      | CLD  | 1c    | 2b.4  | Not            | 4           | Good          | -     | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | CLD  | 1c    | 2b.4  | Not            | 4           | Good          | -     | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | SLD  | 6.6   | 1b.4  | Exceeded       | 3.8         | Outstanding   | AEP   |  |
|      | CLD  | 1c    | 2b.4  | Not            | 4           | Good          | -     | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | SLD  | 5.6   | 7     | Met            | 1.4         | Outstanding   | AEP   |  |
|      | SLD  | 1c    | 2b.4  | Not            | 4           | Good          | -     | Change in assessment tool mid KS and curriculum expectations affected 'P'-Level score. |
|      | SLD  | 5     | 1A    | Exceeded       | 5           | Outstanding   | AEP   |  |

# PSHE:

- 100% of pupils made good or outstanding progress against St. Nicholas criteria. 55% made outstanding progress.
- 40% of pupils at least met and national expectations.
- 80% of pupils made at least 2 VA levels of progress. 70% of pupils made at least 3 VA levels of progress.
- On CASPA 8 of 10 students achieved at least expected progress.

#### Resulting action in 2019/20:

- To target the higher attaining students in Yr 6 to ensure that they continue to accelerate their progression pathway.
- Review the St. Nicholas School progress definitions to reflect extended challenges required by our pupils showing outstanding progress.
- Review the criteria for good and outstanding progress for pupils with PMLD.
- To maximise opportunities for KS 3 pupils to accelerate their learning in all areas of the PSHE curriculum throughout the day, including lunch times, social times and daily living activities.
- Ongoing monitoring of student's progress in PSHE using the fully implemented pupil asset assessment tool.

• Review of PSHE curriculum led by AHT (NA).

| Name | Need | Level      | Level      | "National      | Value | "St. Nicholas | Caspa |                 |
|------|------|------------|------------|----------------|-------|---------------|-------|-----------------|
|      | Туре | at         | at         | expectations"  | Added | criteria"     |       |                 |
|      |      | start      | end        | (i.e. 2 levels |       | (concern,     |       | Story / context |
|      |      | of<br>Vr.7 | of<br>Yr.9 | met or         |       | good, or      |       |                 |
|      |      | Yr.7       | 11.9       | exceeded 3)    |       | outstanding)  |       |                 |
|      | SLD  | 8.4        | 2b.4       | Met            | 5     | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD  | 1b         | 2b.4       | Not            | 3.4   | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 6.4        | 7          | Not            | 0.6   | Good          | BEP   |                 |
|      | PMD  | 2ii.4      | 4          | Exceeded       | 2.6   | Outstanding   |       |                 |
|      | SLD  | 1c         | 2b.4       | Not            | 4.4   | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 1c         | 2b.4       | Not            | 4.4   | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 7.2        | 1b.4       | Met            | 3.2   | Outstanding   | EP    |                 |
|      | SLD  | 6.6        | 7.6        | Not            | 1     | Good          | AEP   |                 |
|      | CLD  | 1c         | 2b.4       | Not            | 4.4   | Good          | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 8          | 3c         | Exceeded       | 7     | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | SLD  | 6          | 7.2        | Not            | 1.2   | Good          | BEP   |                 |
|      | SLD  | 1c         | 2b.4       | Not            | 4.4   | Good          | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 8.4        | 2b.4       | Met            | 5.4   | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | CLD  | 1c         | 2b.4       | Not            | 4.4   | Good          | -     |                 |
|      | CLD  | 8          | 2b.4       | Met            | 5.4   | Outstanding   | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 7.2        | 1c.6       | Met            | 2.4   | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |
|      | CLD  | 1b         | 2b.4       | Not            | 3.4   | Good          | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 6          | 7          | Not            | 1     | Good          | EP    |                 |
|      | SLD  | 1b         | 2b.4       | Not            | 3.4   | Good          | -     |                 |
|      | SLD  | 5          | 1a         | Exceeded       | 6     | Outstanding   | AEP   |                 |