

MAST Academy Trust

Policy	Statement of procedures for dealing with allegations of abuse against staff				
Owner	Melanie Humphreys – The Mast Executive Administrator				
Date approved	12 th February 2020	Adopted from	February 2020		
Approver	Martyn Jones	Signature	Marty L Jones		

Current version V1.0

Next review due | Spring Term 2021

Objective of Policy

This statement details the process that the Mast Academy Trust will follow in relation to allegations of abuse against staff.

Version Control		
Version Number	Summary of amends from previous version	
1.0	Development of policy	

Sign off requirements				
Approvers	Position			
Chair of Trustee(s) ratification	Martyn Jones			
Local LGB adoption approval	Chair of Governors per LGB			
Reviewers	Position			
Natasha Greenough	CEO The MAST			
Dr A Williams	Trustee			

Section Number	Content	Page Number
1.0	Purpose	3
2.0	Suspension	3
3.0	Definitions for outcomes of allegation investigations	3
4.0	Procedure for dealing with allegations	4
5.0	Timescales	5
6.0	Specific actions	6
7.0	Confidentiality	6
8.0	Record-keeping	7
9.0	References	7
10.0	Investigations	7
11.0	Learning lessons	7

1.0 Purpose

This policy applies to all cases in which it is alleged that a current member of staff or volunteer has:

- Behaved in a way that has harmed a child, or may have harmed a child, or
- Possibly committed a criminal offence against or related to a child, or
- Behaved towards a child or children in a way that indicates he or she might pose a risk of harm to children

It applies regardless of whether the alleged abuse took place in school. Allegations against a teacher who is no longer teaching and historical allegations of abuse will be referred to the police.

The Trust will deal with any allegation of abuse against a member of staff or volunteer very quickly, in a fair and consistent way that provides effective child protection while also supporting the individual who is the subject of the allegation. Our procedures for dealing with allegations will be applied with common sense and judgement.

2.0 Suspension

Suspension will not be the default position, and will only be considered in cases where there is a possibility to suspect that a child or other children is/are at risk of harm, or the case is so serious that it might be grounds for dismissal. In such cases, the Trust will only suspend an individual if they have considered all other options available and there is no reasonable alternative.

Based on an assessment of risk, the Trust will consider alternatives such as:

- Redeployment within the school so that the individual does not have direct contact with the child or children concerned
- Providing an assistant to be present when the individual has contact with children
- Redeploying the individual to alternative work in the school so that they do not have unsupervised access to children
- Moving the child or children to classes where they will not come into contact with the individual, making it clear that this is not a punishment and parents have been consulted
- Temporarily redeploying the individual to another role in a different location, for example to an alternative school or other work for the Mast Academy Trust

3.0 Definitions for outcomes of allegation investigations

- **Substantiated:** there is sufficient evidence to prove the allegation
- Malicious: there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation and there
 has been a deliberate act to deceive
- **False:** there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation
- **Unsubstantiated:** there is insufficient evidence to either prove or disprove the allegation (this does not imply guilt or innocence)

• **Unfounded**: to reflect cases where there is no evidence or proper basis which supports the allegation being made

4.0 Procedure for dealing with allegations

In the event of an allegation that meets the criteria above (refer Section 1.0), the headteacher (or chair of governors where the headteacher is the subject of the allegation) – the 'case manager' – will take the following steps:

- Seek initial advice/refer to the LADO. The decision will be made by the LADO as to whether an internal investigation is the appropriate course of action.
- Immediately discuss the allegation with the assigned Trustee(s). This is to consider the nature, content and context of the allegation and agree a course of action, including whether further enquiries are necessary to enable a decision on how to proceed, and whether it is necessary to involve the police and/or children's social care services. (The case manager may, on occasion, consider it necessary to involve the police before consulting the Trustee(s) for example, if the accused individual is deemed to be an immediate risk to children or there is evidence of a possible criminal offence. In such cases, the case manager will notify the Trustee(s) as soon as practicably possible after contacting the police)
- Inform the accused individual of the concerns or allegations and likely course of action as soon as possible after speaking to the Trustee(s) (and the police or children's social care services, where necessary). Where the police and/or children's social care services are involved, the case manager will only share such information with the individual as has been agreed with those agencies
- Where appropriate (in the circumstances described above), carefully
 consider whether suspension of the individual from contact with children at
 the school is justified or whether alternative arrangements such as those
 outlined above can be put in place. Advice will be sought from the
 Trustee(s), police and/or children's social care services, as appropriate
- If immediate suspension is considered necessary, agree and record the rationale for this with the Trustee(s). The record will include information about the alternatives to suspension that have been considered, and why they were rejected. Written confirmation of the suspension will be provided to the individual facing the allegation or concern within 1 working day, and the individual will be given a named contact at the school and their contact details
- If it is decided that no further action is to be taken in regard to the subject of the allegation or concern, record this decision and the justification for it and agree with the Trustee(s) what information should be put in writing to the individual and by whom, as well as what action should follow both in respect of the individual and those who made the initial allegation
- If it is decided that further action is needed, take steps as agreed with the Trustee(s) to initiate the appropriate action in school and/or liaise with the police and/or children's social care services as appropriate

 Provide effective support for the individual facing the allegation or concern, including appointing a named representative to keep them informed of the progress of the case and considering what other support is appropriate. The individual facing the allegation will also be advised of support in place for them via their teaching unions, in addition to the Trusts Medical/Well being support services.]

•

- Inform the parents or carers of the child/children involved about the
 allegation as soon as possible if they do not already know (following
 agreement with children's social care services and/or the police, if
 applicable). The case manager will also inform the parents or carers of the
 requirement to maintain confidentiality about any allegations made against
 teachers (where this applies) while investigations are ongoing. Any parent or
 carer who wishes to have the confidentiality restrictions removed in respect
 of a teacher will be advised to seek legal advice
- Keep the parents or carers of the child/children involved informed of the progress of the case and the outcome, where there is not a criminal prosecution, including the outcome of any disciplinary process (in confidence)
- Make a referral to the DBS where it is thought that the individual facing the allegation or concern has engaged in conduct that harmed or is likely to harm a child, or if the individual otherwise poses a risk of harm to a child

Early years providers add:

We will inform Ofsted of any allegations of serious harm or abuse by any person living, working, or looking after children at the premises (whether the allegations relate to harm or abuse committed on the premises or elsewhere), and any action taken in respect of the allegations. This notification will be made as soon as reasonably possible and always within 14 days of the allegations being made.

If the school is made aware that the secretary of state has made an interim prohibition order in respect of an individual, we will immediately suspend that individual from teaching, pending the findings of the investigation by the Teaching Regulation Agency.

Where the police are involved, wherever possible the Trust/governing board will ask the police at the start of the investigation to obtain consent from the individuals involved to share their statements and evidence for use in the school's disciplinary process, should this be required at a later point.

5.0 Timescales

- Any cases where it is clear immediately that the allegation is unsubstantiated or malicious will be resolved within 1 week
- If the nature of an allegation does not require formal disciplinary action, we will institute appropriate action within 3 working days
- If a disciplinary hearing is required and can be held without further investigation, we will hold this within 15 working days

6.0 Specific actions

6.1 Action following a criminal investigation or prosecution

The case manager will discuss with the Trustee(s) whether any further action, including disciplinary action, is appropriate and, if so, how to proceed, taking into account information provided by the police and/or children's social care services.

6.2 Conclusion of a case where the allegation is substantiated

If the allegation is substantiated and the individual is dismissed or the school ceases to use their services, or the individual resigns or otherwise ceases to provide their services, the case manager and the school's personnel adviser will discuss with the Trustee(s) whether to make a referral to the DBS for consideration of whether inclusion on the barred lists is required.

If the individual concerned is a member of teaching staff, the case manager and personnel adviser will discuss with the Trustee(s) whether to refer the matter to the Teaching Regulation Agency to consider prohibiting the individual from teaching.

6.3 Individuals returning to work after suspension

If it is decided on the conclusion of a case that an individual who has been suspended can return to work, the case manager will consider how best to facilitate this.

The case manager will also consider how best to manage the individual's contact with the child or children who made the allegation, if they are still attending the school.

6.4 Unsubstantiated or malicious allegations

If an allegation is shown to be deliberately invented, or malicious, the headteacher, or other appropriate person in the case of an allegation against the headteacher, will consider whether any disciplinary action is appropriate against the pupil(s) who made it, or whether the police should be asked to consider whether action against those who made the allegation might be appropriate, even if they are not a pupil.

7.0 Confidentiality

The school will make every effort to maintain confidentiality and guard against unwanted publicity while an allegation is being investigated or considered. The case manager will take advice from the Trustee(s), police and children's social care services, as appropriate, to agree:

- Who needs to know about the allegation and what information can be shared
- How to manage speculation, leaks and gossip, including how to make parents or carers of a child/children involved aware of their obligations with respect to confidentiality
- What, if any, information can be reasonably given to the wider community to reduce speculation
- How to manage press interest if, and when, it arises

8.0 Record-keeping

The case manager will maintain clear records about any case where the allegation or concern meets the criteria above and store them on the individual's confidential personnel file for the duration of the case. Such records will include:

- A clear and comprehensive summary of the allegation
- Details of how the allegation was followed up and resolved
- Notes of any action taken and decisions reached (and justification for these, as stated above)

If an allegation or concern is not found to have been malicious, the school will retain the records of the case on the individual's confidential personnel file, and provide a copy to the individual.

Where records contain information about allegations of sexual abuse, the Trust will preserve these for the Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse (IICSA), for the term of the inquiry. The Trust will retain all other records at least until the individual has reached normal pension age, or for 10 years from the date of the allegation if that is longer.

The records of any allegation that is found to be malicious will be deleted from the individual's personnel file.

9.0 References

When providing employer references, the Trust will not refer to any allegation that has been proven to be false, unsubstantiated or malicious, or any history of allegations where all such allegations have been proven to be false, unsubstantiated or malicious.

10.0 Investigation

If an investigation is required the Trust would follow the investigation process as outlined in The Mast Academy Trust Disciplinary Policy.

11.0 Learning lessons

After any cases where the allegations are *substantiated*, the Trust will review the circumstances of the case with the local authority's designated officer to determine whether there are any improvements that we can make to the school's procedures or practice to help prevent similar events in the future.

This will include consideration of (as applicable):

- Issues arising from the decision to suspend the member of staff
- The duration of the suspension
- Whether or not the suspension was justified
- The use of suspension when the individual is subsequently reinstated. We will
 consider how future investigations of a similar nature could be carried out
 without suspending the individual