

Initial Advice and Guidance Policy

Date Approved:	19/08/2024	Approved By:	Managin	g Director	
Next Review Due Date:	19/08/2026	Manual ID Number:	BSA038	Version No:	2
Author and Responsible Manager:	Head of Centre				
Applicable to:	Staff and Students				
Publication:	Staff SharePoint and Website				

Document Control

Version	Date	Author	Notes on Revisions



Contents

Section	Section Title	Page Number
1	Purpose	
2	Scope	
3	Implementation	
4	Roles and Responsibilities	
5	Equality Impact Statement	



1. Purpose

The purpose of this Policy is to ensure that impartial, information advice and guidance is embedded and integrated throughout the student journey.

2. Scope

All student's pre-enrollment will receive IAG to support in making decisions about their study and career planning based on their individual aspirations.

All students whilst on programme will receive IAG throughout the student journey

3. Implementation

BSA staff ensure that clear, accurate and timely information is given to students to support them in overcoming any barriers to achieving their goals. Providing impartial IAG to all students enables them to make informed choices about their option's preenrolment and next steps after completion of their programme in relation to their chosen career path.

Although BSA do not offer specific standalone IAG sessions to students, it is embedded throughout the students journey this includes:

- Initial interview
- Within Progress Reviews completed every 10-12 weeks
- Within Exit Interviews

4. Roles and Responsibilities

The Head of Centre will ensure that this policy is adhered to and reviewed annually

Managers will ensure that all staff responsible for undertaking IAG with students do so impartially.

Delivery staff are responsible for undertaking IAG with students both pre-enrolment and whilst on programme within regular Progress Review.



5. Equality Impact Assessment

Impact Assessment for the 4 strands of E	quality, Safeguarding, Health and safety		
and Sustainability			
Initial Form to be completed with Risk As change to a policy, plan or new way of wo			
Title of Activity:	□ New or IZ Revision		
Author and Date: Dionne McCann Jan	(Tick as appropriate)		
2021	Expected Implementation Date: April 21 What is the Review Date: Every 2 Years		
Equality and Diversity . Which of the characteristics may be impacted upon?	None, no impact		
And, if yes, how has this been considered? What are the risks? What are the benefits?			
Safeguarding: Are there any aspects of this proposal which could cause a Student/member of staff/visitor to feel unsafe?	□ Yes 🗷 No		
If yes, how has this been considered?			
What are the risks? What are the benefits			
Health and Safety: Have any risks been identified?	🗆 Yes 🗷 No		
If yes, how has this been considered?			
What are the risks? What are the benefits?			
Sustainability: Are there expected benefits or impacts on sustainability issues?	□Yes IZ No		
If yes, how have these been considered?			
Evidence: What evidence do you have for your conclusions and expectations for these conclusions?	Quality is monitored through both internal and external reviews		
How will this impact be monitored for all these considerations?			
Is this policy of a high/medium or low risk? :	🗆 High 🗆 Medium 🗷 Low		