



# **Woodhey High School Non-Examination Assessment Policy 2024/25**

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations.

Approved/reviewed by	
Reviewed by: Exams Officer	
Approved by: Gary Holden (Assistant Headteacher)	
Date of next review	September 2025

**Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments.**

Role	Name(s)
------	---------

Head of Centre	<b>Dean Watson</b>
Quality Assurance Lead/Lead Internal Verifier	<b>Gary Holden (Assistant Headteacher)</b> <b>Mel Ward (Deputy Headteacher)</b>
SENDCo	<b>Carla Fleming</b>
Data and Exams Officer	<b>Alison Abbott</b>
Exams Officer	<b>Claire Baker</b>
Directors of Faculty	<b>M Malone, M Barlow, L Kern, A Harper, S Wood</b>

## Contents

Key staff involved in this policy .....	1
What does this policy affect? .....	4
Purpose of the policy .....	4
What are non-examination assessments? .....	4
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities .....	
4 The basic principles .....	4
Task setting .....	6
Issuing of tasks .....	6
Task taking .....	6
Supervision .....	6
Advice and feedback .....	6
Resources .....	7
Word and time limits .....	7
Collaboration and group work .....	7
Authentication procedures .....	7
Presentation of work .....	8
Keeping materials secure .....	8
Task marking – externally assessed components .....	9
Conduct of externally assessed work .....	9
Task marking – internally assessed components .....	10
Marking and annotation .....	10
Internal standardisation .....	10

Submission of marks and work for moderation .....	11
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks .....	12
External moderation – the process .....	12
External moderation – feedback .....	12
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments .....	12
Special consideration and loss of work .....	13
Malpractice .....	13
Post-results services .....	14
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England .....	14
Private candidates .....	15
Qualification/Subject specific additional information .....	16
GCSE Art, Biology, Chemistry, Physics and Combined Science, Drama, Dance, Physical Education, Food Preparation and Nutrition, Music, Design and Technology .....	16
Cambridge Nationals: Child Development, Creative i-Media, Enterprise and Marketing .....	16
BTEC: Home Cooking, Travel and Tourism .....	16
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments .....	17

## **What does this policy affect?**

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment) is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are

classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments**, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

## **Purpose of the policy**

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments.
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments.
- manage risks associated for non-examination assessments.

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment. (NEA , section 1)

## **What are non-examination assessments?**

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking. (NEA 1)

## **Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities**

### **The basic principles**

#### **Head of Centre's roles and responsibilities:**

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments NEA, confirming:
  - All reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure all candidates at the centre have had or will have the opportunity to undertake the (GCSE English Language) Spoken Language endorsement.
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking
- Ensures staff are aware that marks **must** be given to candidates in time to allow for requests for reviews of marking for internally assessed work.
- Ensures those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessments understand and are aware of the consequences of potential malpractice
- Ensures staff understand the failure to report allegations of potential or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice itself

### **Assistant Headteacher**

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure a centre-wide calendar of assessment schedules is available at the start of the academic year

### **Assistant Headteacher (Quality assurance (QA) lead)/Lead internal verifier**

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for nonexamination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

### **Director of Faculty (DoF)**

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the Assistant Head Teacher (QA lead/Lead internal verifier) to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- Ensures the Exams Officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (as part of the entry information for the overall qualification or where the entry can be unitised as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries.
- Set internal deadlines for their department to allow the time for a candidate's request for an internal appeal to be completed prior to the deadline for submitting the marks to the awarding body. **N.B.** The internal deadlines are set by the Assistant Headteacher and the Exams Officer.

### **Subject teacher**

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures internal deadlines for marking are met.

### **Exams Officer**

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication NEA to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

## **Task setting**

### **Director of Faculty (DoF)/ Subject lead**

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification

### **Subject Teacher**

- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

## **Issuing of tasks**

### **Director of Faculty (DoF)**

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates
- *Director of Faculty (DoF) ensures individual subjects' teachers are aware of and adhere to the above.*

## **Task taking**

### **Supervision**

#### **Subject teacher**

- Ensures candidates understand that information from all sources must be referenced
- Ensures candidates receive guidance on setting out references
- Ensures candidates are aware that they must not plagiarise other material
- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant *JCQ Information for candidates documents*

## **Advice and feedback**

### **Subject teacher**

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task including
- Understand that information from published sources must be referenced;

- Receive guidance on setting out references;
- Are aware they must not plagiarise other material.
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

## Resources

### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Refer to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks
  - Refer to the JCQ document *AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications* ([www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice](http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice)) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and regulator.
  - By referencing this document, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment
  - Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
  - Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Note:** *Where work is stored electronically centres are required to restrict access to this material and to utilise appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software. An effective back-up strategy **must** be employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained.*
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
  - Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
  - Ensures, where appropriate, to include references. Ensures candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

## Word and time limits

### Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

## Collaboration and group work

### Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment



- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

### **Authentication procedures**

#### **Subject teacher roles and responsibilities:**

•  
Where required by the awarding body's specification:

- ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
- signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs the Assistant Head Teacher or Head Teacher (Head of Centre)
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero.

### **Plagiarism in Any Form Including the Misuse of Artificial**

Plagiarism in any form including the misuse of AI to produce candidate work is deemed as malpractice.

- As a school we will communicate with students and staff JCQ Non-Examination Assessment guidelines and JCQ AI Use in Assessments guidelines. Teachers need to consider whether a piece of NEA coursework is of a typical standard for the individual candidate, if there is any doubt during the moderation process, this would be investigated as malpractice.

### **Presentation of work**

#### **Subject teacher**

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

### **Keeping materials secure**

#### **Subject teacher**

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking

- 
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series  
If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document *Information for candidates – Social Media*)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

### **IT Technician**

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

## **Task marking – externally assessed components**

### **Conduct of externally assessed work**

#### **Subject teacher**

- Liaises with the Exams Officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### **Exams Officer**

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification

- 
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

## **Submission of work**

### **Subject teacher**

- Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

### **Exams Officer**

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable  
Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

## **Task marking – internally assessed components**

### **Marking and annotation**

#### **Head of Centre**

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

#### **Assistant Headteacher/ Director of Faculty (DoF)**

- Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

#### **Subject teacher**

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marketing process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria

- 
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the Director of Faculty (DoF) or as indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

## **Internal standardisation**

### **Assistant Headteacher (Quality assurance (QA) lead)/Director of Faculty or (DoF) (Lead internal verifier)**

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff etc.)

Ensures accurate internal standardisation - for example by:

- obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
- holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

### **Subject teacher**

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

## **Submission of marks and work for moderation**

### **Director of Faculty (DoF)**

- Ensure checks are made to confirm there are no arithmetical/transcription errors and the correct total mark is available for submission
- Provides marks to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Arranges a date and time to jointly input and submit marks online with the Exams Officer or Exams Officer inputs marks alongside Data Manager and a formal confirmation is given to DoF so a formal confirmation check is made at time of entry to ensure the correct mark is entered and transcription errors are avoided prior to submission. The marks are entered and submitted via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested

- 
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Provides the Exams Officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body
- Ensures that a copy of all candidates' work is made and is securely kept of any sample that is sent via postal moderation.

### **Exams Officer**

- Inputs and submits marks online with the Director of Faculty (DoF)/ Data & Office Manager via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline
- Keeps a record of the marks awarded
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging

- proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the Director of Faculty (DoF), ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the Director of Faculty (DoF), submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

## **Storage and retention of work after submission of marks**

### **Director of Faculty (DoF)**

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Technician, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

### **Exams Officer**

- Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and is kept in the exams secure store for the required retention period.

## **External moderation – the process**

### **Director of Faculty (DoF)**

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

## **External moderation – feedback**

### **Director of Faculty (DoF)**

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

### **Exams Officer**

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

## **Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments**

### **Subject teacher**

- Works with the SENCo Line Manager/SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

### **SENDCo Line Manager/Special educational needs coordinator (SENDCo)**

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments including
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

## **Special consideration and loss of work**

### **Subject teacher**

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the Exams Officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the Exams Officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

### **Exams Officer**

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
  - Where a candidate is eligible, applies for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
  - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
  - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 – JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

## **Malpractice**

### **Head of centre**

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for



malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

### **Subject teacher**

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates - <http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents> examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates - Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

### **Data and Exams Officer**

- Signposts the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures to the Head of Centre
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the Head of Centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

## **Post-results services**

### **Head of Centre**

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

### **Director of Faculty (DoF)**

- Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

### **Subject teacher**

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the Exams Officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline
- Supports the Exams Officer in collecting candidate consent where required

### **Exams Officer**

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

## **Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England**

### **Head of Centre**

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

### **Assistant Headteacher (Quality assurance (QA) lead)/ Director of Faculty (DoF) (Lead internal verifier)**

- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

### **Director of Faculty for Communication**

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

### **Subject teacher**

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

### **Exams Officer**

- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings.
- Accepts contact with the monitor and passes information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks notice
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

### **Private candidates**

- It is not centre policy to accept private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)

## **Qualification/Subject specific additional information**

This section provides additional information/procedures for planning and managing nonexamination assessments in specific subjects of qualifications.

### **GCSE Art, Biology, Chemistry, Physics and Combined Science, Drama, Dance, Physical Education, Food Preparation and Nutrition, Music, Design and Technology, Sociology**

#### **ASDAN**

#### **Cambridge Nationals: Child Development, Sports Science**

- JCQ's *Instructions for conducting examinations* are followed for the conduct of externally set components
- JCQ's *Instructions for conducting non examination assessments* are followed for the conduct of GCSE NEA components
- JCQ's *Instructions for conducting coursework* are followed for the conduct of coursework for OCR Cambridge Nationals, Entry Level Certificates and Level 1 and Level 2 qualifications

#### **Director of Faculty (DoF)**

- Ensures the fieldwork requirement has been met. On the written statement records the date, location, numbers of candidates participating, the main issues/questions investigated and the part of the specification to which the fieldwork is linked.

#### **Head of Centre**

- Signs the written statement confirming the requirement has been met for all of the pupils that have been entered.

#### **Exams Officer**

- Submits the signed written statement to the awarding body and holds a copy on file.

### **GCSE Combined Science (Trilogy), Biology, Chemistry and Physics Director of Faculty (DoF)**

- Ensures the practical activities requirement has been met.
- Is required to provide a practical science statement to AQA, that is a true and accurate written statement, which confirms that it has taken reasonable steps to secure that each student has:
  - completed the required practical activities as detailed in this specification
  - made a contemporaneous record of such work undertaken during the activities and the knowledge,
  - skills and understanding derived from those activities.

#### **Head of Centre**

- Signs the written statement confirming the requirement has been met for all of the pupils that have been entered.

#### **Exams Officer**

- Submits the signed written statement to the awarding body and holds a copy on file.

Any failure to provide this statement in a timely manner will be treated as malpractice or maladministration (under *Ofqual's General Condition A8 (Malpractice and maladministration)*).

#### Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	<p><i>Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</i></li> <li>• <i>the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - <a href="http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination">www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination</a><a href="http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments">http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments</a></i></li> </ul>	Exams Officer/ Assistant Head Teacher
Candidate malpractice	<p><i>Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>submit work which is not their own</i></li> <li>• <i>make available their work to other candidates through any medium</i></li> <li>• <i>allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material</i></li> <li>• <i>assist other candidates to produce work</i></li> <li>• <i>use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution</i></li> <li>• <i>submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement</i></li> <li>• <i>include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material</i></li> </ul> <p><i>Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - nonexamination assessments and Information for candidates - Social media - <a href="http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams">www.jcq.org.uk/exams</a><a href="http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents">http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents</a></i></p>	DoF/ Assistant Head Teacher
<b>Task setting</b>		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	<p><i>Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course</i></p> <p><i>IT systems checked prior to key date</i></p> <p><i>Alternative IT system used to gain access</i></p> <p><i>Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details</i></p>	Exams Officer/ IT Technician

Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	<i>Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task</i>	DoF
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	<i>A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria</i>	Subject teacher
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	<i>See centre's Exam Contingency Plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle</i>	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
<b>Issuing of tasks</b>		
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	<i>Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching</i>	DoF
The wrong task is given to candidates	<i>Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved</i>	DoF
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	<i>See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle</i>	
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	<i>Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample</i>	DoF/ Exams Officer
<b>Task taking</b>		
<b>Supervision</b>		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	<i>Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar</i>	Assistant Head Teacher

Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	<i>Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)</i>	Assistant Head Teacher / DoF
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	<i>Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's nonexamination assessment policy</i>	Assistant Head Teacher / DoF
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	<i>Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed</i>	Subject teacher/ DoF/Assistant Head Teacher / Exams Officer
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	<i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate</i>	Subject teacher/ Exams Officer

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
<b>Advice and feedback</b>		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	<i>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work</i>	DoF/ Assistant Head Teacher
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	<i>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage</i>	DoF/Assistant Head Teacher

A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	<i>An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body</i>	Head of Centre/ Assistant Head Teacher / Exams Officer
Candidate does not reference information from published source	<i>Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion</i>	Subject teacher
Candidate does not set out references as required	<i>Candidate is advised at a general level to review and redraft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion</i>	Subject teacher
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	<i>A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up</i>	Subject teacher
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	<i>Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place</i>	Subject teacher/ Exams Officer
An excluded pupil wants to complete a non-examination assessment(s)	<i>The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education</i>	Head of Centre/

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	<i>If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate</i>	Assistant Head Teacher
<b>Resources</b>		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	<i>Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions</i>	Subject teacher

A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	<i>Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources</i> <i>Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately</i> <i>Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate</i>	Subject teacher/ Exams Officer
---	---	-----------------------------------

#### **Word and time limits**

A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	<i>Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory</i> <i>Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them</i> <i>Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood</i>	Subject teacher
---	--	-----------------

#### **Collaboration and group work**

Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	<i>Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted</i> <i>Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved</i>	Subject teacher/ Exams Officer
---	---	-----------------------------------

#### **Authentication procedures**

<p>A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment</p> <p>Candidate plagiarises other material</p>	<i>Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</i> <i>Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</i> <i>Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for nonexamination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</i> <i>The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body</i>	DoF/Assistant Head Teacher / Head of Centre
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	<i>Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</i> <i>Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: nonexamination assessments</i>	Subject teacher

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	<i>Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment</i>	



Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	<i>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures</i>	Head of Centre/ Assistant Head Teacher
<b>Presentation of work</b>		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	<i>Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment</i>	Subject teacher
<b>Keeping materials secure</b>		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	<i>Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage</i>	DoF
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	<i>Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required</i>	DoF/Assistant Head Teacher / Head of Centre
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	<i>Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>access to this material is restricted (insert how)</i></li> <li><i>appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert names/types of protection)</i></li> <li><i>an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert details of how work is backed up)</i></li> <li><i>any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it (insert relevant details of how)</i></li> </ul>	DoF/ IT Technician/Assistant Head Teacher / Head of Centre
<b>Task marking – externally assessed components</b>		
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	<i>Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate</i>	Subject teacher/ Exams Officer/ Assistant Head Teacher

A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	<i>The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register</i>	Subject teacher/ Assistant Head Teacher
Task marking – internally assessed components		

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
A candidate submits little or no work	<i>Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body</i>	Subject teacher
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	<i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work</i>	Subject teacher/ Exams Officer/ Assistant Head Teacher
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	<i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work</i>	Subject teacher/ DoF/ Exams Officer
Candidate malpractice is discovered	<i>Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed</i>	Head of Centre/ Assistant Head Teacher / Exams Officer
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	<i>A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not</i>	Subject teacher/ Head of Centre/ Exams Officer
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	<i>Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension</i>	DoF/ Subject teacher/ Exams Officer

After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	<i>Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates</i>	DoF/ Exams Officer
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	<i>Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and</i>	Assistant Head Teacher / Exams Officer/ DoF
Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	<i>prior to the internal deadline set by the Exams Officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body</i>	
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	<i>Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate</i>	Subject teacher/ DoF/ Exams Officer
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	<i>Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed</i>	DoF/ Assistant Head Teacher / Head of Centre
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	<i>See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)</i>	

