

WOODHEY HIGH SCHOOL

COMPLAINTS AND APPEALS PROCEDURES POLICY (EXAMS)

Version Control:

Policy Owner:	Lisa Dobson
Date Approved by Headteacher:	Dean Watson
Signed by Headteacher	
Date Approved by Academy Council: (if applicable)	NA
Signed by Chair of Academy Council:	NA
Review Interval:	Annually
Last Review Date:	September 2022
Next review Date:	September 2023
Audience:	Staff, Parents, Carers, Students

CONTENTS

Version Control:	1
Key staff involved in the complaints and appeals procedure	3
Purpose of the procedure.....	4
Grounds for complaint.....	4
Teaching and learning	4
Access arrangements.....	5
Entries	5
Conducting examinations	5
Results and Post-results.....	6
Complaints and Appeals Procedure	6
How to make a formal complaint.....	6
How a formal complaint is investigated.....	6
Appeals	6

Key staff involved in the complaints and appeals procedure

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre	Dean Watson
Data and Examinations Manager	Tracey Cardus
Senior Leader(s)	Lisa Dobson (Deputy Head Teacher), Gary Holden (Assistant Head Teacher), Adam Downing (Assistant Head Teacher), Clare Lomax (Assistant Head Teacher), Joseph Varley (Assistant Head Teacher)
SENCo Line Manager/SENCo	Lisa Dobson (DHT) Position awaiting to be filled (SENCo)
Exams Officer	Clare Dewhurst

Purpose of the procedure

This procedure confirms Woodhey High School's compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (section 5.8) that the centre will draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers their written complaints and appeals procedure which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or their parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- The marking of an internal assessment (**centre assessed work**), which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not undertaken according to the requirements of the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (**complainant to refer via Exams Officer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure***)
- Centre fails to adhere to its ***internal appeals procedure***

Access arrangements

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed candidate personal data consent form)
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during exam/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment
- Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer via Exams Officer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (on-screen) exam/assessment
- Disruption during exam/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the **accepted/rejected** outcome of a special consideration application **if provided by awarding body**

Results and Post-results

- Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of a review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via Exams Officer to awarding body post-results services)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via the Exams Officer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- **Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure**
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Complaints and Appeals Procedure

If a candidate (or their parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification they are following, Woodhey High School encourages the candidate to try to resolve this informally in the first instance. The situation will hopefully be resolved without the need to result to a formal complaint.

If a complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or their parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

For information about how to proceed with a concern, complaint or an appeal, please refer to the School's Complaints procedure, a copy of which can be found on the school's web-site.

If the candidate (or their parents/carers) requires a hard copy of the School's Complaints procedure they should contact the Exams Officer who will provide a copy.

How a formal complaint is investigated

The complaint will be dealt with as outlined in the School's Complaints procedure, which can be found on the school web-site.

Appeals

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted as outlined in the School's Complaints procedure, which can be found on the school's web-site.